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## Preface

The purpose of this progress report is to provide summary information for spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead Lower Snake River Compensation Plan (LSRCP) programs operated by ODFW in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha river basins during 1995 and 1996. These ongoing monitoring programs provide technical, logistical, and biological information to managers charged with maintaining viable salmon and steelhead populations and associated fisheries in Northeast Oregon.

This report summarizes fish culture monitoring data for Lower Snake River Compensation Plan (LSRCP) facilities for each species. These data should serve as the basis for the analysis of trends in culture performance. Generally speaking, the data in this report were derived from hatchery inventories and standard databases (i.e., PSMFC, Coded-wire tag) or through standard measuring techniques. As such, specific protocols are usually not described. In cases where expansions of data or unique methodologies were used, protocols are described in more detail. Additional descriptions of protocols can be found in the 1995 and 1996 work statements (Carmichael 1995, 1996). Coded-wire tag (CWT) data that were collected from 1995-1996 adult returns is used to evaluate smolt-to-adult survival rates in experimental rearing and release groups. In 1995-1996, experimental treatments from which fish returned included acclimated vs. direct stream for steelhead and size-at-release for both steelhead and Chinook. In 1995-1996, experimental treatments for which fish were released included size-at-release, exercise, acclimation, and low density treatments for Chinook, acclimated vs. direct stream for both steelhead and Chinook, and forced vs. volitional release for steelhead. Analysis of specific survival studies will be completed once all brood years have returned and CWT data are complete for a given experiment. In addition, much of the data that we discuss in this report will be used in separate and specific evaluations of ongoing supplementation programs for both steelhead and Chinook in the Imnaha River basin. We began culture evaluations in 1983 and have dramatically improved many practices. Progress for work completed in previous years is presented in annual progress reports (Carmichael and Wagner, 1983; Carmichael and Messmer, 1985; Carmichael et al., 1986a, 1987, 1988a, 1988b, 1989; Messmer et al., 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993; Flesher et al., 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994; Whitesel et al., 1993; and Jonasson et al., 1994), and United States v. Oregon production report (Carmichael et al., 1986b). Progress for related work completed during 1995-1996 is presented in the summer steelhead creel (Flesher et al., 1995, 1996), and the residual steelhead (Jonasson et al., 1995, 1996) annual progress reports.

To facilitate the location of information, this report is divided into a section for spring Chinook salmon, and a section for summer steelhead. Within each section, data are organized into fish culture monitoring for juveniles, adults, CWT recoveries, and estimates for total escapement. During the period covered in this report, Chinook from the 1990-1993 brood years returned to spawn, Chinook from the 1993-1994 brood were released as smolts, and adult Chinook that returned to spawn were used to create the 1995 and 1996 broods. During the period covered in this report, steelhead from the 1991-1993 broods returned to spawn, steelhead from the 1994 and 1995 broods were released as smolts, and adult steelhead that returned to spawn were used to create the 1995 and 1996 broods.
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Objectives

1. Document spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead rearing and release activities at all LSRCP facilities.
2. Determine optimum rearing and release strategies that will produce maximum survival to adulthood for hatchery-produced spring Chinook and summer steelhead smolts.
3. Document Chinook salmon and summer steelhead adult returns by stock to each LSRCP broodstock collection facility.
4. Determine if the total production of spring Chinook and summer steelhead adults meet mitigation goals and index annual smolt survival and adult returns to Lower Granite Dam for production groups.
5. Coordinate spring Chinook broodstock marking programs for Lookingglass Fish Hatchery.
6. Participate in planning activities associated with anadromous fish production and management in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha river basins and participate in ESA permitting, consultation, and rearing activities.
7. Conduct index, extensive, and supplemental spring Chinook salmon spawning ground surveys in selected streams in Northeast Oregon.
8. Identify hatchery and wild origin for carcasses collected on spawning ground surveys in the Grande Ronde Basin.
9. Monitor natural spawning of summer steelhead in selected areas within the Grande Ronde Basin.
10. Determine the number of summer steelhead harvested annually and angler effort in recreational fisheries on the Grande Ronde, Wallowa, and Imnaha rivers.

## Accomplishments and Findings

## Spring Chinook Salmon

In 1995 and 1996 we released 590,118 1993 brood and 91,240 1994 brood into the Imnaha river. The 1993 brood Imnaha Chinook releases included groups for evaluation
of acclimation, direct stream, and size-at-release. The 1994 brood Imnaha releases included groups for evaluation of rearing density. In 1995, $99.7 \%$ of the releases were recognizably marked with ad-clips and in 1996, $99.9 \%$ were recognizably marked. In the Grande Ronde Basin we released 658,250 Rapid River stock smolts in 1995, and 139,112 smolts in 1996. In 1995, $99.9 \%$ were recognizably marked and in 1996, $99.6 \%$ were adclipped. The 1993 and 1994 brood Rapid River releases included groups for evaluation of exercise and density.

In 1995, we trapped 30 hatchery and 38 naturally produced Chinook on the Imnaha River and in 1996 we trapped 84 hatchery and 145 naturally produced fish. In 1995, we began trapping Rapid River stock adults destined for Lookingglass Fish Hatchery at Lower Granite Dam. This trapping effort was initiated to reduce the number of stray hatchery fish on the spawning grounds in the Grande Ronde Basin.
In 1995, we trapped 138 Rapid River stock Chinook at Lower Granite Dam and 39 at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. In addition, 34 natural fish were trapped at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. In 1996, we trapped 572 Rapid River stock fish at Lower Granite Dam and 49 at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. We also trapped 50 natural fish at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. We estimated that 183 and 187 hatchery Chinook salmon returned to the LSRCP compensation area in 1995 as a result of hatchery releases in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha basins. These returns achieved only $5.7 \%$ and $9.1 \%$ of the adult compensation goals, respectively. In 1996, we estimated that LSRCP hatchery releases in the Grande Ronde Basin produced a return of 587 Chinook to the compensation area ( $25.5 \%$ of goal). The return of Imnaha hatchery Chinook was 188 achieving $5.9 \%$ of the goal. Few carcasses were recovered on spawning ground surveys in 1995, and we found only one hatchery stray in the Wenaha river. In 1996, we recovered 144 carcasses in the Grande Ronde Basin and found six hatchery strays (4.2\%).

## Summer Steelhead

In 1995, we released 1,402,994 Wallowa stock steelhead smolts into the Grande Ronde Basin and 338,512 Imnaha stock smolts into the Imnaha River Basin. In 1996, we released 1,356,344 smolts into the Grande Ronde Basin and 322,103 into the Imnaha Basin. In 1995 and 1996, experimental groups were released at the Little Sheep Creek and Big Canyon facilities for evaluation of acclimated and direct stream releases. In addition in 1996, we released experimental groups to evaluate forced and volitional release strategies at Wallowa Fish Hatchery. A total of 318 and 349 Wallowa stock steelhead returned to Wallowa Hatchery and Big Canyon respectively in 1995. In addition, we trapped and released 31 natural steelhead at Big Canyon. At the Little Sheep Facility, we trapped 278 hatchery and 17 naturally produced fish. Of these, we released 34 hatchery and 12 natural steelhead above the weir.

In 1996, 988 and 498 hatchery fish returned to Wallowa Hatchery and Big Canyon respectively. We also trapped and and released 29 natural fish at Big Canyon. At Little Sheep Creek 442 hatchery fish and 47 natural fish returned. During spawning in the
spring of 1995, we collected 1,602,367 Wallowa stock eggs and 341,925 Imnaha stock eggs. In 1996, we collected 2,781,565 Wallowa stock eggs and 728,244 Imnaha stock eggs. We estimated that 2,232 Wallowa stock hatchery steelhead returned to the LSRCP compensation area in 1995 ( $24.3 \%$ of goal) and 3,976 returned in 1996 (43.3\% of goal). The return of Imnaha steelhead was 320 ( $16.0 \%$ of goal) in 1995 and 626 (31.3\% of goal) in 1996.

## SECTION I

## SPRING CHINOOK SALMON

The main objective of this report section is to document fish culture performance for spring Chinook salmon at LSRCP facilities. These data are then used to evaluate and design culture practices to optimize the egg-to-smolt survival rate, smolt quality, and the smolt-to-adult survival rate (SAR). This section of the report is concerned with rearing and release operations for the 1993 and 1994 brood years (BY) of juvenile Chinook; the collection, spawning and adult characteristics for the 1995 and 1996 returns of adult Chinook salmon, and the collection of 1995 and 1996 BY eggs.

## Juveniles

1995
Egg to smolt survival rates for 1993 BY Imnaha and Rapid River stock Chinook was within the normal range observed in past years (Table 1). The smolt production goal for 1993 BY Rapid River stock was set below the mitigation goal of 900 k to provide rearing space for Imnaha Chinook. The release of 658,230 smolts was well below the goal. The release of 590,118 1993 BY Imnaha stock smolts was the largest since the program began. This production level far exceeded the mitigation goal of 490,000 . This production level was a result of a large number of hatchery returns that were surplus to that needed to pass above the weir to spawn naturally. The decision to not sacrifice any hatchery fish resulted in a greater number of fish being spawned than originally planned. We implemented a complex marking program for 1993 brood Chinook (Table 2). We attempted to mark $100 \%$ of the 1993 BY Imnaha Chinook with an adipose clip and the hatchery-hatchery crosses also received a left ventral clip. We attempted to mark 100\% of the 1993 BY Rapid River stock smolts with Ad-RV+CWT. This mark was applied to allow for trapping, identification, and removal of Lookingglass produced Rapid River stock adults at Lower Granite Dam. We achieved a mark rate of $96 \%$.

The 1993 BY Imnaha stock juveniles were reared in nine raceways. To evaluate size-at-release ( 15 versus 25 fish/lb.) and release type (acclimation versus direct stream), we marked six raceways with unique CWT codes (Table 3). Smolts targeted for 15 fish $/ \mathrm{lb}$. were smaller than target and those targeted for 25 fish $/ \mathrm{lb}$. were larger. Because of the large production numbers for the 1993 BY two periods of acclimation were required resulting in a range of release dates from 28 March until 5 May 1995.

Rapid River stock Chinook juveniles were reared in eight raceways. To evaluate rearing density and exercise, we marked eight raceways with unique CWT codes (Table 3). The release size target for all smolts was 20 fish $/ l \mathrm{~b}$. and the size-at-release ranged from 19.5-22.3 fish/lb. All smolts were released directly into Lookingglass Creek on 5 April 1995.

Smolt migration performance was monitored by measuring the percentage of each PIT-tagged group detected at mainstem dams. Detection rates for Rapid River stock were higher than rates for Imnaha stock. We observed this same relationship in past years. Two groups of Imnaha smolts released in April performed poorly in comparison to other groups released in March (Table 3).

## 1996

Egg to smolt survival rates for 1994 BY Imnaha and Rapid River Chinook were on the high end of the normal range observed in past years (Table 4). The smolt production goal for the 1994 brood Rapid River Chinook was set at 500,000, well below the mitigation goal of 900,000 . This reduced production goal was one of the actions taken in the transition from the non-local origin Rapid River stock to local origin broodstocks. The release of 139,112 1994 BY smolts was below the production goal. This low level of production was a result of poor returns of Rapid River stock adults in 1994. The release of 91,240 1994 BY Imnaha smolts was far below the mitigation goal of 490,000. This low level of smolt production was the result of a poor return in 1994 and broodstock collection strategies that placed a significant proportion of trapped hatchery and natural fish above the weir to spawn naturally. We attempted to mark $100 \%$ of the 1994 BY Rapid River stock smolts with Ad-RV+CWT. We had a poor mark rate with the RV clip as we achieved only an $82.5 \%$ clip rate (Table 5).

The 1994 BY Imnaha Chinook were reared in six raceways at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. To evaluate the influence of density on smolt to adult survival, we marked all six raceways with unique CWT codes. Due to the low production levels all Imnaha Chinook were reared at moderate to low densities. All Imnaha Chinook smolts were acclimated at the Imnaha Facility and released on 2 April 1996 (Table 6). Rapid River Chinook were reared in four raceways. To evaluate the benefits of moderate exercise, we marked all four raceways with unique CWT codes. Rearing densities for the 1994 BY Rapid River stock were about $50 \%$ of normal past practices. All Rapid River stock smolts were released from Lookingglass Fish Hatchery on 4 April 1996. Smolt migration success was monitored based on PIT-tag observations at mainstem dams. We observed poorer migration rates for the 1994 BY than we observed for the 1993 BY (Table 6).

## Adults

The Imnaha weir was installed later in 1995 than at any time in the past and far later than the target date of 15 June. We began trapping on 26 July (Table 7). Due to the late date of the start of trapping and the small predicted run size all adults that were trapped at the weir were retained for broodstock. In contrast, in 1994 we released $69 \%$ of trapped adults above the weir to spawn naturally. We were unable to make a markrecapture estimate above the weir because no fish were released. However, based on past years' run timing we believe a majority of the run escaped above the weir location prior to weir installation. We trapped and retained 30 hatchery and 38 natural fish (Table 8).

We determined age structure of the Imnaha returns based on CWT age, scale age, and length-at-age relationships (Figure 1). Age-at-return information is presented in Table 8. Both hatchery and natural origin fish had a high proportion of age 3 males. Prespawn mortality for Imnaha Chinook held at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery was $10.3 \%$. We spawned a total of 15 females with natural fish comprising $59 \%$ of the fish that were spawned. We collected 68,121 eggs, which was well below the egg-taking goal (Table 9). Eggs collected in 1995 were incubated at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery.

In 1995, we began trapping Lookingglass-produced Rapid River Chinook at Lower Granite Dam. A total of 138 Ad-RV+CWT Chinook were collected at Lower Granite Dam and transported to Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. In addition, 39 Rapid River and 34 natural fish were trapped at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. We did not release any fish above the hatchery to spawn naturally due to disease concerns. Prespawning mortality for marked and unmarked fish was $7.6 \%$, and we spawned 52 females (Table 8). We used spawning matrices to increase the number of family groups. Both natural and hatchery fish were spawned which resulted in pairing of hatchery x hatchery, natural $x$ hatchery, and natural $x$ natural. We collected a total of 209,357 eggs from Rapid River stock and all eggs were incubated at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. The egg mortality rate to shocking was $5 \%$, which is low in comparison to past years (Table 9). We determined age structure of Rapid River returns based on CWT age, scale age, and length-at-age (Figure 2). Age-at-return is presented in Table 8.

1996
The Imnaha weir was installed on 16 July in 1996, well after the target date of 15 June (Table 10). We retained about $50 \%$ of the Age 4 and Age 5 hatchery and natural fish for broodstock. The remaining fish were released above the weir to spawn naturally. We trapped a total of 99 hatchery and 145 naturally produced fish and retained 76 hatchery and 72 natural fish. We killed 15 hatchery jacks that were not used in the spawning (Table 11). Age structure was determined from CWT age, scale age, and length-at-age relationships (Figure 3). Age 3 hatchery males comprised a significant proportion of the males that returned. Age 4 wild males were the predominant age group in the wild component and few age 3 fish returned. Prespawn mortality of Imnaha Chinook held at Lookingglass for combined and natural fish was $17.3 \%$. We spawned a total of 24 females with natural fish comprising $72.1 \%$ of fish spawned. We collected

110,146 eggs which was well below our goal (Table 12). The mortality to shocking was $7 \%$ overall for the 14 family groups spawned. Imnaha eggs collected in 1996 were incubated at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery.

In 1996 we trapped Rapid River stock Chinook at Lower Granite Dam and at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. A total of 572 Ad-RV+CWT marked Chinook were collected at Lower Granite Dam and transported to Lookingglass and Wallowa hatcheries. In addition, 49 Rapid River and 105 natural fish were trapped at Lookingglass Hatchery. We released 50 natural fish above the Lookingglass weir to spawn naturally (Table 11). Prespawn mortality was high in 1996 at 40.1\%. Much of this mortality occurred at Wallowa Hatchery. Hatchery and natural fish were spawned separately at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery (Table 12). We spawned 20 natural females and a total of 160 hatchery females. We collected a total of 72,585 eggs from natural $x$ natural crosses, and 633,619 eggs from hatchery $x$ hatchery crosses (Table 12). The eggs from the hatchery parents were transported to Irrigon Hatchery for incubation and early rearing, and the eggs from the natural parents were retained at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery for incubation.

## Experimental group returns

1995
Adult returns and fisheries recoveries from each stock of Chinook salmon are used to evaluate hatchery treatments and to assess the success of achieving mitigation goals and management objectives. We mark experimental groups as well as groups that represent production with coded wire tags to provide information on survival, harvest, escapement, and straying.

Returns to the Imnaha basin in 1995 contained hatchery fish from three brood years. The number of recoveries of each CWT code were summarized from the CWT recovery database maintained by the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission and from the ODFW database. To account for hatchery fish that spawn in nature we develop expansion factors as described in Carmichael et al. (1994). We recovered 29 fish with CWT's at the Imnaha weir and none were passed to spawn naturally. We estimated that 77 CWT fish escaped above the weir prior to weir installation and 77 CWT fish spawned below the weir (Table 13).

Returns of CWT groups of Rapid River stock were also expanded to account for fish that returned to the river but were not sampled. We recovered 27 CWT fish at Lookingglass Hatchery and 130 CWT fish at Lower Granite Dam. We did not pass any fish above the hatchery to spawn naturally and 16 were estimated to have spawned naturally (Table 14). There were no Carson stock that returned in 1995. We did not capture any stray hatchery fish at the Imnaha or Lookingglass Hatchery weirs.

Returns to the Imnaha basin in 1996 contained hatchery fish from three brood years. We recovered 55 fish with CWT's at the Imnaha weir and passed 23 above the weir to spawn naturally. We estimated that 39 escaped above the weir prior to installation and that 71 CWT fish spawned below the weir (Table 15).

We recovered 37 CWT fish at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery and 557 at Lower Granite Dam (Table 16). We did not pass any marked fish above the hatchery to spawn naturally and estimated that only 7 spawned below the weir. Three tagged groups of Rapid River fish of the 1992 brood year survived very poorly. One group had been released at Hells Canyon Dam and two others were released in early May well after the other groups. The delayed release date was a result of an extended rearing period to treat for Erythrocytic Inclusive Body Syndrome. No stray hatchery fish were recovered at Lookingglass Hatchery or the Imnaha River weir in 1996. Length frequency of Rapid River adults is presented in Figure 4.

## Compensation goals

## 1995

The total number of hatchery produced fish for each stock that are recovered in fisheries, escape to the stream of release or stray within or outside the Snake River basin can be estimated based on recoveries of CWT fish. To calculate the return to the LSRCP Compensation area, which is defined as the Snake River basin above Ice Harbor Dam, we summed all estimated recoveries for the 1995 return year that occurred above Ice Harbor Dam. To provide an overall summary of disposition for adults returning, CWT recoveries were expanded to account for non-CWT fish that were released. Nearly all of the CWT recoveries for hatchery Chinook that were released in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha basins occurred in the Snake River basin (Table 17).

We did not reach the compensation goal for either Imnaha or Grande Ronde basins in 1995. We estimated that we only achieved $5.7 \%$ of our goal for the Imnaha Basin and $9.1 \%$ for the Grande Ronde Basin. Two factors contributed to the poor returns in 1995. Smolt production for the 1990 and 1991 brood years of Imnaha Chinook were at or below $30 \%$ of the production goal. However, poor smolt-to-adult survival was the primary factor causing the poor return. Similarly for Rapid River stock Chinook released in the Grande Ronde Basin poor smolt-to-adult survival was the factor that caused the low adult return.

The Imnaha supplementation evaluation program provides information to evaluate the replacement rates (progeny-to-parent ratios) of the hatchery and natural populations. The hatchery component progeny-to-parent ratio for the 1990 brood was the lowest observed since the program began in 1982 at 0.5 . The ratio for the natural spawning population was 0.2 . The ratio for the 1989 brood represented the seventh year in a row that the natural spawning fish did not replace themselves (Figure 5). One of the primary objectives of the Imnaha hatchery program is to enhance natural production. However, because replacement rates have been below 1.0 seven consecutive years we have seen a steady decline in the number of naturally produced fish that return to the basin (Figure 6.)

We did not reach the compensation goal in 1996 for either the Imnaha or Grande Ronde basins. We estimated that we reached $5.9 \%$ of the goal for the Imnaha and $26.0 \%$ of the goal for the Grande Ronde Basin (Table 18). Similar to 1995 the two primary factors causing low returns were poor smolt-to-adult survival and smolt releases that were below the goal.

The progeny-to-parent ratio for the fish that spawned naturally in 1991 was 0.2 , which was equal to the lowest value observed since monitoring began with the 1982 brood year (Figure 7). This represented the eighth year in a row that productivity has been well below replacement. Although the ratio for the hatchery component was better than for naturally spawning fish it was still poor at 1.0 . The number of natural fish that returned to the basin was slightly higher than 1995, but was well below levels observed in the late 1980's (Figure 8).

## Natural Escapement Monitoring

1995
Stream surveys to enumerate Chinook salmon redds and to sample salmon carcasses were conducted as in previous years (see Keefe et al., 1994). During the surveys conducted in 1995, we observed a total of 80 redds and recovered 20 carcasses in the Grande Ronde River basin. We recovered one stray hatchery fish on the spawning grounds in the Wenaha River. This fish was released from Lookingglass Hatchery in 1993 (Table 19). Marked hatchery strays comprised $5.0 \%$ of the carcasses recovered on spawning grounds (Table 20). We observed far fewer marked hatchery fish in 1995 than has been observed in recent years. This reduction in stray hatchery fish spawning in nature is a result of the trapping of Lookingglass destined hatchery fish at Lower Granite Dam.

In the Imnaha basin we counted a total of 49 redds and recovered 19 carcasses. All of the marked hatchery fish recovered were Imnaha hatchery fish, thus no out-ofbasin strays were observed (Table 19). The age composition of Chinook salmon recovered on spawning grounds is presented in Table 21.

## 1996

We observed a total of 306 redds and recovered 141 carcasses on the spawning grounds in the Grande Ronde Basin in 1996. We recovered five marked hatchery strays including three in the Lostine River, two in the Minam River, and one in the Wenaha River (Table 22). With the exception of one hatchery fish recovered in the Minam River, all hatchery fish were produced at and released from Lookingglass Hatchery. The one exception was a stray from Dworshak National Fish Hatchery. Hatchery strays comprised $3.6 \%$ of the total carcasses recovered (Table 23). The age comparison of Chinook salmon recovered on spawning grounds is presented in Table 24.


Figure 1. Length-at-age relationship for Imnaha stock Chinook salmon adults used as hatchery broodstock in 1995.



Figure 2. Length-at-age relationship for Rapid River stock Chinook salmon adults used as hatchery broodstock in 1995.
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Figure 3. Length-at-age relationship for Imnaha stock Chinook salmon adults used as hatchery broodstock in 1996.



Figure 4. Length-at-age relationship for Rapid River stock Chinook salmon adults used as hatchery broodstock in 1996.


Figure 5. Progeny-to-parent ratios for completed brood years (1982-90) of Imnaha River Chinook salmon.


Figure 6. Estimates of natural- and hatchery-origin Chinook salmon spawning in the Imnaha River, 1985-95.


Figure 7. Progeny-to-parent ratios for completed brood years (1982-91) of Imnaha River Chinook salmon.


Figure 8. Estimates of natural- and hatchery-origin Chinook salmon spawning in the Imnaha River, 1985-96.

Table 1. Rearing summaries for juvenile spring Chinook salmon from the 1993 brood year released in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha river basins in 1995.

| Stock | Number of <br> eggs taken | Eyed <br> Embryos | Egg to embryo |  | Embryo to smolt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Total fish |
| :---: |
| released $^{a}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Includes all fish released (adipose clipped and coded-wire tagged plus unrecognizable marks, target 100\% marked, see Table 2).
${ }^{b}$ Does not include 283,047 pre-smolts released into the Imnaha River and tributaries to the Imnaha River in July 1994.
${ }^{c}$ Does not include 236,000 eggs shipped to the Nez Perce Tribe as gametes.
${ }^{d}$ Does not include 255,072 eyed eggs euthanized because they were excess to program needs.

Table 2. Estimates of percent fin clip and coded-wire tag application success for 1993 brood year spring Chinook salmon reared at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery and released in 1995. Release group indicates rearing density, experimental treatment and raceway number. Imnaha stock target was $100 \%$ Ad with CWT, Rapid River stock target was $100 \%$ AdRV with CWT.

| Release group | Number checked | Ad clip with CWT | Ad clip <br> No CWT | No Ad clip with CWT | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No Ad } \\ \text { clip } \\ \text { No CWT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RV } \\ & \text { Clip } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No } \\ \text { RV } \\ \text { Clip } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imnaha stock ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $14.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Acclimated, 9 | 311 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| $14.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Acclimated, 16 | 309 | 98.7 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.3 | - | - |
| $11.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Acclimated, 17 | 346 | 96.0 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - |
| $13.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Acclimated, 18 | 312 | 98.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | - | - |
| $11.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Direct stream, 13 | 311 | 98.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0 | - | - |
| $11.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Direct stream, 14 | 327 | 96.6 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0 | - | - |
| Average | 319 | 97.9 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Rapid River stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}, 20 / \mathrm{lb}, 1$ | 320 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 95.9 | 4.1 |
| $16.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1,20 / \mathrm{lb}, 2$ | 314 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 99.0 | 1.0 |
| 16.0 g/l,Exercise, 3 | 318 | 98.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 99.1 | 0.9 |
| 13.4 g/l,Exercise, 4 | 299 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 97.3 | 2.7 |
| $16.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1,20 / \mathrm{lb}, 5$ | 325 | 87.7 | 12.3 | 0 | 0 | 97.5 | 2.5 |
| $16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}, 20 / \mathrm{lb}, 6$ | 311 | 89.7 | 10.3 | 0 | 0 | 99.0 | 1.0 |
| 16.0 g/l,Control, 7 | 322 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 98.1 | 1.9 |
| 13.4 g/l,Control, 8 | 309 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 99.0 | 1.0 |
| Average | 315 | 96.0 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 98.1 | 1.9 |

${ }^{a}$ Fish in ponds 10, 11, and 12 were progeny of hatchery parents and did not receive CWTs. Ponds 10 and 11 were targeted at $100 \%$ AdLV. Pond 10 received $91.1 \%$ AdLV, $5.6 \%$ LV only, $3.3 \%$ Ad only and $0.0 \%$ with no mark. Fish in pond 11 were $89.7 \%$ AdLV, $5.4 \%$ LV only, $0.0 \%$ Ad only and $4.9 \%$ no mark. Pond 15 was $100 \%$ Ad only.

Table 3. Details of experimental groups of spring Chinook salmon released in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha river basins in 1995. Experimental group indicates rearing density, experimental treatment, and rearing raceway number. Standard density is 16.1 grams per liter (gpl) at release (equal to 80,000 fish at 20 fish per pound). Sample size for length was approximately 300, and 100 for weight and condition factor. FPP = Fish per pound.

| Experimental group | FPP | Release Date | Release <br> Location | CWT <br> Code | Fork length mm (S.D.) | Weight $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{D} .)$ | Condition <br> Factor (S.D.) | Total fish released ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Number } \\ & \text { PIT } \\ & \text { tagged } \end{aligned}$ | Percent PIT tags detected ${ }^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imnaha stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.0 gpl , Acclimated, 9 | 22.2 | 28 Mar 95 | Imnaha Weir | 070745 | 120 (7) | 20.4 (3.4) | 1.18 (0.07) | 77,200 | 499 | 41.1 |
| 14.0 gpl, Acclimated, 16 | 21.8 | 28 Mar 95 | Imnaha Weir | 070746 | 120 (6) | 20.8 (3.4) | 1.21 (0.08) | 72,015 | 499 | 45.1 |
| 11.0 gpl , Direct Stream, 17 | 23.0 | 24 Apr 95 | Imnaha Weir | 070747 | 118 (6) | 19.7 (3.1) | 1.18 (0.06) | 64,414 | 496 | 29.8 |
| 13.0 gpl , Direct Stream, 18 | 23.3 | 28 Mar 95 | Imnaha Weir | 070748 | 118 (6) | 19.5 (2.6) | 1.15 (0.08) | 75,831 | 499 | 40.3 |
| 11.0 gpl , Acclimated, 13 | 17.4 | 28 Mar 95 | Imnaha Weir | 070750 | 129 (6) | 26.1 (4.2) | 1.18 (0.07) | 49,576 | 499 | 53.1 |
| 11.0 gpl , Acclimated, 14 | 17.6 | 28 Mar 95 | Imnaha Weir | 070751 | 129 (7) | 25.8 (3.7) | 1.22 (0.05) | 47,084 | 498 | 52.4 |
| $13.7 \mathrm{gpl}, \mathrm{HxH}$, Acclim, $10-11^{\text {c }}$ | 22.9 | 06 Apr 95 | Imnaha Weir | - | 119 (7) | 19.8 (3.5) | 1.16 (0.10) | 156,559 | 984 | 25.0 |
| $10.5 \mathrm{gpl}, \mathrm{HxH}, \mathrm{Acclim}, 15^{c}$ | 14.9 | 05 May 95 | Imnaha Weir | - | 135 (8) | 30.4 (4.6) | 1.27 (0.13) | 39,255 | - | - |
| Unrecognizable marks ${ }^{e}$ | - | 28 Mar 95 | Imnaha Weir | - | - | - | - | 8,184 | - | - |
| Total Released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 590,118 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3,974 |  |
| Rapid River stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.0 gpl, 20 FPP, 1 | 21.8 | 05 Apr 95 | Lookingglass | 070829 | 122 (6) | 20.9 (2.9) | 1.13 (0.05) | 87,087 | - | - |
| 16.0 gpl, 20FPP, 2 | 20.2 | 05 Apr 95 | Lookingglass | 070828 | 122 (6) | 22.5 (3.0) | 1.19 (0.07) | 85,509 | - | - |
| 16.0 gpl , Exercise, 3 | 21.1 | 05 Apr 95 | Lookingglass | 070827 | 122 (6) | 21.5 (2.9) | 1.15 (0.07) | 86,435 | 496 | 61.5 |
| 13.4 gpl , Exercise, 4 | 19.5 | 05 Apr 95 | Lookingglass | 070826 | 123(6) | 23.3 (3.6) | 1.20 (0.07) | 65,983 | 501 | 65.5 |
| $16.0 \mathrm{gpl}, 20 \mathrm{FPP}, 5$ | 20.6 | 05 Apr 95 | Lookingglass | 070825 | 121(6) | 22.0 (3.5) | 1.20 (0.08) | 75,678 | - | - |
| $16.0 \mathrm{gpl}, 20 \mathrm{FPP}, 6$ | 20.7 | 05 Apr 95 | Lookingglass | 070854 | 121(6) | 21.9 (3.1) | 1.17 (0.06) | 77,133 | - | - |
| 16.0 gpl, Control, 7 | 22.3 | 05 Apr 95 | Lookingglass | 070823 | 121(6) | 20.3 (3.3) | 1.13 (0.08) | 84,234 | 495 | 59.2 |
| 13.4 gpl, Control, 8 | 20.1 | 05 Apr 95 | Lookingglass | 070822 | 122(6) | 22.6 (3.2) | 1.19 (0.10) | 68,558 | 498 | 62.4 |
| Unrecognizable marks ${ }^{e}$ | - | 05 Apr 95 | Lookingglass | - | - | - | - | 27,613 | - | - |
| Total Released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 658,230 | 1,990 |  |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ Equals total number released in Table 1 by stock plus fish released without recognizable marks. The April 24 release group was delayed due to an EIBS outbreak.
Due to an IHNV outbreak, 53,111 were euthanized on April $19^{\text {th }}$ from Pond 12.
${ }^{b}$ Includes unique detections at all dams in the Snake and Columbia Rivers.
${ }^{c}$ HxH indicates both parents were known hatchery origin. Smolt releases were either AdLV-No CWT (15 FPP) or LV only-No CWT (25 FPP). These fish were not considered part of the normal supplementation program.
${ }^{d}$ Does not include 283,047 1993 BY HxH pre-smolts release in July 1994 at multiple sites in the Imnaha River Basin (Carmichael et al. 1999).
${ }^{e}$ Defined on fish that do not have adipose clip and coded-wire-tag.

Table 4. Rearing summaries for juvenile spring Chinook salmon from the 1994 brood year released in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha river basins in 1996.

| Stock | Number of eggs taken | Eyed <br> Embryos | Estimated Survival Rate (\%) |  | Total fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Egg to embryo | Embryo to smolt | released $^{a}$ |
| Imnaha | 111,794 | 99,225 | 88.8\% | 92.0\% | 91,240 |
| Rapid River | 171,958 | 153,176 | 89.1\% | 90.8\% | 139,112 |

${ }^{a}$ Includes all fish released (adipose clipped and coded-wire tagged plus unrecognizable marks, target 100\% marked, see Table 2).

Table 5. Estimates of percent fin clip and coded-wire tag application success for 1994 brood year spring Chinook salmon reared at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery and released in 1996. Release group indicates rearing density, experimental treatment and raceway number. Imnaha stock target was $100 \%$ Ad with CWT, Rapid River stock target was $100 \%$ AdRV with CWT.

| Release group | Number checked | Ad clip with CWT | Ad clip No CWT | No Ad clip with CWT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No Ad } \\ & \text { clip } \\ & \text { No CWT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RV } \\ & \text { Clip } \end{aligned}$ | No RV Clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imnaha stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Acclimated, 2 | 311 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| $4.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Acclimated, 3 | 339 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| $4.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Acclimated, 4 | 352 | 96.0 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.3 | - | - |
| $2.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Acclimated, 5 | 340 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| $4.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Direct stream, 6 | 421 | 98.8 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| $4.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Direct stream, 7 | 415 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Average |  | 97.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Rapid River stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$,Exerc, 14 | 318 | 99.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 82.4 | 17.6 |
| $7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Control, 15 | 348 | 97.4 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 81.3 | 18.7 |
| $7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Exerc, 16 | 355 | 96.3 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 88.2 | 11.8 |
| $7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Control, 17 | 350 | 94.9 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 78.0 | 22.0 |
| Average |  | 96.9 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0 | 82.5 | 17.5 |

Table 6. Details of experimental groups of spring Chinook salmon released in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha river basins in 1996. Group indicates experimental treatment, target size and rearing pond number. Standard density is 16.1 grams per liter at release (equal to 80,000 fish at 20 fish per pound). Sample size for Imnaha stock for length was approximately 300, and 100 for weight and condition factor. Sample size for Rapid River stock for length, weight, and condition factor was approximately 1300.

| Stock, BY, group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fish } \\ & \text { / lb. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Release <br> date | Release location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fork length mm (S.D.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \mathrm{g}(\text { S.D. }) \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | Total fish released ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number PIT tagged | Percent detected $^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imnaha, 1994 Brood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1,20 / 1 \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{P} 02$ | 16.4 | 02 Apr 96 | Imnaha Weir | 071227 | 127 (9.1) | 27.7 (6.6) | 1.23 (0.10) | 6,847 | 514 | 43.0\% |
| $4.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1,20 / 1 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{P} 03$ | 17.8 | 02 Apr 96 | Imnaha Weir | 071225 | 129 (7.5) | 25.5 (3.7) | 1.33 (0.06) | 13,635 | 710 | 41.7\% |
| $4.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1,20 / 1 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{P} 04$ | 16.3 | 02 Apr 96 | Imnaha Weir | 071226 | 130 (10.0) | 27.9 (10.6) | 1.20 (0.08) | 13,376 | 716 | 39.9\% |
| $2.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1,20 / 1 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{P} 05$ | 19.1 | 02 Apr 96 | Imnaha Weir | 071230 | 126 (7.2) | 23.8 (3.3) | 1.16 (0.08) | 10,695 | 710 | 42.3\% |
| $4.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1,20 / 1 \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{P} 06$ | 19.5 | 02 Apr 96 | Imnaha Weir | 071228 | 124 (7.9) | 23.3 (4.3) | 1.14 (0.09) | 22,643 | 943 | 46.0\% |
| $4.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1,20 / \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{P} 07$ | 20.4 | 02 Apr 96 | Imnaha Weir | 071229 | 124 (8.7) | 22.3 (5.7) | 1.12 (0.09) | 22,069 | 1,004 | 41.7\% |
| Unrecognizable marks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,975 | 4,596 |  |
| Total released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91,240 |  |  |
| Rapid River, 1994 Brood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7g/l,Exercise,P14 | 20.1 | 04 Apr 96 | Lookingglass Cr. | 071231 | 126 (7.4) | 22.6 (4.1) | 1.12 (0.09) | 33,902 | 1,800 | 44.7\% |
| $7 \mathrm{~g} / 1$, Control,P15 | 20.5 | 04 Apr 96 | Lookingglass Cr. | 071232 | 124 (7.8) | 22.2 (4.1) | 1.16 (0.08) | 34,379 | 1,804 | 44.5\% |
| $7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Exercise,P16 | 19.9 | 04 Apr 96 | Lookingglass Cr. | 071233 | 125 (8.0) | 22.8 (4.1) | 1.15 (0.10) | 33,534 | 1,794 | 47.0\% |
| $7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$, Control,P17 | 20.5 | 04 Apr 96 | Lookingglass Cr. | 071234 | 125 (8.5) | 22.1 (4.2) | 1.13 (0.09) | 32,997 | 1,774 | 47.9\% |
| Unrecognizable marks ${ }^{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,300 | 7,172 |  |
| Total released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139,112 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Equals total number released in Table 1 by stock. Total released includes all fish with adipose clip and CWT (target 100\%) plus an
additional 4,300 Rapid River stock and 1,975 Imnaha stock fish were released without recognizable marks.
${ }^{b}$ Includes unique detections at all dams in the Snake and Columbia Rivers.
${ }^{c}$ Defined as fish that do not have adipose clip and coded-wire tag.

Table 7. Timing of adult spring Chinook salmon returns to LSRCP facilities in 1995 by origin.

| Period | Week of year | Number of fish trapped ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Imnaha |  | Rapid River stock |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lookingglass weir |  | Lower Granite $\mathrm{Dam}^{b}$ |
|  |  | Marked | Unmarked | Marked | Unmarked | AdRV |
| Apr 09-15 | 15 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Apr 16-22 | 16 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Apr 23-29 | 17 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Apr 30-May 06 | 18 | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| May 07-13 | 19 | - | - | - | - | 25 |
| May 14-20 | 20 | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| May 21-27 | 21 | - | - | - | - | 31 |
| May 28-Jun 03 | 22 | - | - | 0 | 1 | 26 |
| Jun 04-10 | 23 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Jun 11-17 | 24 | - | - | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| Jun 18-24 | 25 | - | - | 6 | 7 | 3 |
| Jun 25-Jul 01 | 26 | - | - | 13 | 7 | 2 |
| Jul 02-08 | 27 | - | - | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Jul 09-15 | 28 | - | - | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| Jul 16-22 | 29 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Jul 23-29 | 30 | - | - | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Jul 30-Aug 05 | 31 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Aug 06-12 | 32 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Aug 13-19 | 33 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug 20-26 | 34 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug 27-Sep 02 | 35 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep 03-09 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep 10-16 | 37 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep 17-23 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep 24-30 | 39 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep 31-Oct 07 | 40 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 30 | 38 | $46^{c}$ | 27 | 138 |

${ }^{a}$ The Imnaha River weir was operational from July $26{ }^{\text {th }}$ through September $7^{\text {st }}$, the Lookingglass Creek weir was operational from June $2^{\text {st }}$ to September $7^{\text {st }}$.
${ }^{b}$ Beginning in 1995, all AdRV marked Chinook salmon trapped at Lower Granite Dam will be removed and transported to Lookingglass fish Hatchery. Some missed AdRV and non-AdRV marked fish will continue to be collected at the Lookingglass Creek weir. Any unmarked fish trapped at Lookingglass Creek weir were likely 5-year-old unmarked hatchery fish. After 1994, all hatchery fish returning to Lookingglass Creek should be marked AdRV.
${ }^{c}$ Seven marked fish were considered to be unmarked at final sampling and are reported as unmarked in Table 5.

Table 8. Numbers of adult spring Chinook salmon returning to LSRCP facilities in 1995 by origin, age, and sex. Hatchery origin includes all marked fish. $\mathrm{M}=$ Male, $\mathrm{F}=$ Female.

| Stock, <br> Disposition | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | Total | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | Total |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| Imnaha River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trapped | 16 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 68 |
| Passed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outplants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kept | 16 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 68 |
| Actual spawned | 11 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 61 |
| Killed, not spawned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pre-spawn mortality | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| Mean length (mm) ${ }^{a}$ | 552 | - | 744 | 783 | - | 892 |  | 554 | - | 765 | 810 | 1000 | - |  |  |
| Standard Deviation (mm) | 44 | - | 32 | 73 | - | 19 |  | 39 | - | 35 | 53 | - | - |  |  |
| Age composition (\%) | 53.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 100\% | 32.4 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 10.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100\% |  |
| Rapid River | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Trapped | $43^{b}$ | 0 | 78 | 44 | 8 | 4 | 177 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 34 | 211 |
| Trapped at LG Dam ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 38 | 0 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| Trapped at Lookingglass | 5 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 39 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 34 | 73 |
| Passed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outplants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kept | 43 | 0 | 78 | 44 | 8 | 4 | 177 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 34 | 211 |
| Actual spawned | 34 | 0 | 70 | 40 | 7 | 4 | 155 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 32 | 187 |
| Killed-not spawned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Pre-spawn mortality | 2 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 |
| Mean length (mm) | 475 | - | 779 | 752 | 883 | 795 |  | 547 | 633 | 739 | 717 | 1001 | 890 |  |  |
| Standard Deviation (mm) | 33 | - | 47 | 41 | 43 | 54 |  | 47 | - | 59 | 31 | 77 | - |  |  |
| Age composition (\%) | 24.3 | 0.0 | 44.1 | 24.9 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 100\% | 23.5 | 2.9 | 41.2 | 17.6 | 11.8 | 2.9 | 100\% |  |

${ }^{a}$ Mean length per age class determined from known age fish based on either CWT or scale data.
${ }^{b}$ Six of the 43 were two year old males, which were all KNS. They are not included in the mean length data.
${ }^{c}$ AdRV fish were collected at Lower Granite Dam beginning in 1995. Both AdRV and Ad-Only fish collected at the Lookingglass Creek weir are included as hatchery returns.

Table 9. Timing of spawning and spawning summaries for 1995 brood spring Chinook salmon at LSRCP facilities.

| Date | Hatchery spawn code | Origin of parents in matrices | Number of parents F, M | Number of eggs taken | Percent mortality to shocking ${ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imnaha stock at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
| August 17, 1995 | 185 | Hatchery |  |  |  |
|  |  | Natural |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mixed | 1,2 | 4,585 | 4 |
| August 24, 1995 | 186 | Hatchery |  |  |  |
|  |  | Natural |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mixed | 4,4 | 18,508 | 13 |
| August 31, 1995 | 187 | Hatchery |  |  |  |
|  |  | Natural | 1,2 | 4,561 | 9 |
|  |  | Mixed | 8,27 | 35,598 | 22 |
| September 07, 1995 | 188 | Hatchery |  |  |  |
|  |  | Natural |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mixed | 1,11 | 4,869 | 2 |
| Total |  |  | 9 Family groups | 68,121 | 16 |
| Rapid River stock at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
| August 24, 1995 | 408 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Natural | $1,2^{b}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Mixed | 0 | 7,794 | 1 |
| August 31, 1995 | 409 | Hatchery | 12,36 |  |  |
|  |  | Natural | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | Mixed | 8,24 | 84,674 | 4 |
| September 07, 1995 | 410 | Hatchery | 14,28 |  |  |
|  |  | Natural | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | Mixed | 8,16 | 88,047 | 6 |
| September 14, 1995 | 411 | Hatchery | 1,2 |  |  |
|  |  | Natural | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | Mixed | 5,19 | 22,237 | 4 |
| September 21, 1995 | 412 | Hatchery | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | Natural | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | Mixed | 2,6 | 6,607 | 5 |
|  |  |  | 52 Family groups | 209,357 | 5 |

[^0]Table 10. Timing of adult spring Chinook salmon returns to LSRCP facilities in 1996 by origin.

| Period | Week of year | Number of fish trapped ${ }^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Imnaha |  | Rapid River stock |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lookingglass weir |  | Lower Granite Dam ${ }^{b}$ |
|  |  | Marked | Unmarked | Marked | Unmarked | AdRV |
| Apr 09-15 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr 16-22 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr 23-29 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr 30-May 06 | 18 | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| May 07-13 | 19 | - | - | - | - | 17 |
| May 14-20 | 20 | - | - | - | - | 124 |
| May 21-27 | 21 | - | - | 1 | 0 | 107 |
| May 28-Jun 03 | 22 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| Jun 04-10 | 23 | - | - | 12 | 45 | 64 |
| Jun 11-17 | 24 | - | - | 11 | 16 | 28 |
| Jun 18-24 | 25 | - | - | 3 | 9 | 21 |
| Jun 25-Jul 01 | 26 | - | - | 10 | 10 | 30 |
| Jul 02-08 | 27 | - | - | 6 | 9 | 19 |
| Jul 09-15 | 28 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Jul 16-22 | 29 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Jul 23-29 | 30 | 28 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Jul 30-Aug 05 | 31 | 48 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Aug 06-12 | 32 | 9 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Aug 13-19 | 33 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Aug 20-26 | 34 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 3 | - |
| Aug 27-Sep 02 | 35 |  | 12 | 1 | 0 | - |
| Sep 03-09 | 36 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Sep 10-16 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - |
| Sep 17-23 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep 24-30 | 39 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sep 31-Oct 07 | 40 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 99 | 145 | $52^{\text {b }}$ | $102{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 572 |

${ }^{a}$ Fish trapped at Lower Granite Dam were Rapid River stock Chinook salmon released in Lookingglass Creek and identified at Lower Granite Dam by AdRV fin-clips. Fish trapped at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery were Rapid River stock fish that were either unmarked or were missed at the Lower Granite trap. Imnaha weir operational from July 16-September 11.
${ }^{b}$ Three fish included here as marked were considered unmarked at final sampling and are reported as unmarked in Table 5.

Table 11. Numbers of adult spring Chinook salmon returning to LSRCP facilities in 1996 by origin, age, and sex (M=Male, $\mathrm{F}=$ Female).

| Stock, <br> Disposition | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | Total | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | Total |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| Imnaha River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trapped | $39^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 29 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 84 | 6 | 0 | 86 | 38 | 10 | 5 | 145 | 229 |
| Passed | 0 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 19 | 6 | 3 | 73 | 96 |
| Outplants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kept | 39 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 43 | 19 | 4 | 2 | 72 | 133 |
| Actual spawned | 5 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 57 | 79 |
| Killed, not spawned | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| Pre-spawn mortality | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 23 |
| Mean length (mm) ${ }^{b}$ | 552 | NA | 779 | 800 | 882 | 883 |  | 547 | NA | 770 | 801 | 898 | 906 |  |  |
| Standard Deviation (mm) | 31.1 | NA | 55.4 | 24.1 | - | 92.6 |  | 69.2 | NA | 40.2 | 31.3 | 67.5 | 43.1 |  |  |
| Age composition (\%) | 46.4 | 0 | 34.5 | 13.1 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 1 | 4.1 | 0 | 59.3 | 26.2 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 1 |  |
| Rapid River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Trapped | 37 | 0 | 317 | 264 | 2 | 1 | 621 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 52 | 10 | 0 | 105 | 726 |
| Trapped at LG Dam ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 31 | 0 | 300 | 239 | 1 | 1 | 572 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 572 |
| Trapped at LOOH | 6 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 52 | 10 | 0 | 105 | 154 |
| Passed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
| Outplants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kept | 37 | 0 | 317 | 264 | 2 | 1 | 621 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 55 | 676 |
| Actual spawned | 9 | 0 | 153 | 159 | 0 | 1 | 322 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 363 |
| Killed-not spawned | 6 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| Pre-spawn mortality | 22 | 0 | 133 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 271 |
| Mean length (mm) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 474 | NA | 736 | 719 | 848 | 861 |  | 586 | NA | 745 | 729 | 828 | NA |  |  |
| Standard Deviation (mm) | 42.9 | NA | 50.5 | 38.6 | 51.6 | - |  | - | NA | 43.7 | 27.6 | 30.8 | NA |  |  |
| Age composition (\%) | 6.0 | 0 | 51.0 | 42.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 40.0 | 49.5 | 9.5 | 0 | 1 |  |

${ }^{a}$ An additional 15 AdLV/LV only or Ad-no CWT jacks were killed at the weir, and not included here.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Mean length per age class determined from known age fish based on either CWT or scale data.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ AdRV fish were collected at Lower Granite Dam beginning in 1995. Both AdRV and Ad-only fish collected at the Lookingglass Creek weir are included as hatchery returns.

Table 12. Timing of spawning and spawning summaries for 1996 brood spring Chinook salmon at LSRCP facilities. Matrix symbols indicate the origin and numbers of parents used in each family group.

| Date | Hatchery spawn code | Origin of Parents in matrices | Number of Parents F, $M^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ | Number of eggs taken | Percent mortality to shocking ${ }^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imnaha stock at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
| August 14, 1996 | 189 | Mixed | 3,6 | 11,021 | 10 |
| August 22, 1996 | 190 | Mixed | 6,12 | 29,419 | 8 |
| August 29, 1996 | 191 | Natural | 2,3 | 27,781 | 2 |
|  |  | Mixed | 4,8 |  |  |
| September 05, 1996 | 192 | Natural | 2,1 | 33,539 | 2 |
|  |  | Mixed | 5,10 |  |  |
| September 12, 1996 | 193 | Mixed | 2,13 | 8,386 | 22 |
| Total |  |  | 14 Family Groups | 110,146 | 7 |
| Rapid River stock at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| August 20, 1996 | 101 | Hatchery | 1,0 | 4,000 (BKD | D+destroyed) |
| August 20, 1996 | 413 | Natural | 1,2 | 3,856 | 12 |
| August 27, 1996 | 102 | Hatchery | 3,5 | 10,672 | 4 |
| August 27, 1996 | 414 | Natural | 4,6 | 16,617 | 14 |
| August 28, 1996 | 113W | Hatchery | 3,3 | 13,141 | 25 |
| September 03, 1996 | 103 | Hatchery | 39,40 | 149,196 | 5 |
| September 03, 1996 | 415 | Natural | 9,10 | 31,632 | 5 |
| September 04, 1996 | 114W | Hatchery | 29,29 | 114,474 | 12 |
| September 10, 1996 | 104 | Hatchery | 49,49 | 191,085 | 2 |
| September 10, 1996 | 416 | Natural | 6,12 | 20,480 | 1 |
| September 11, 1996 | 115W | Hatchery | 25,25 | 105,653 | 14 |
| September 17, 1996 | 105 | Hatchery | 9,11 | 38,753 | 4 |
| September 26, 1996 | 106 | Hatchery | 2,2 | 6,645 | 17 |
| Total |  |  | 96 Family Groups | 706,204 | 9.6 |

[^1]Table 13. Summary of anadromous adult recoveries of coded-wire tagged (CWT), Imnaha River stock, spring Chinook for the 1995 return year.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Brood } \\ \text { year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Experimental group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Recoveries at weir ${ }^{b}$ | Other in-basin recoveries ${ }^{c}$ | Out-of-basin recoveries ${ }^{d}$ | Total recoveries ${ }^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075844 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 14.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075846 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 |
|  | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 14.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Direct, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Direct, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075849 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071538 | 6 | 32 | 1 | 39 |
|  | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071539 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 32 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071540 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071541 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 12.8 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070118 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 19 |
|  | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 12.8$ gpl | 070119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 12.8 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 076362 | 8 | 43 | 1 | 52 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 12.8$ gpl | 076363 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 25 |
|  | Direct, $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 12.8 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070116 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
|  | Direct, $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 12.8 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070117 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1993 | Acclim., 15 fpp, 12.8 gpl | 070750 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Grand total of recoveries in 1995 |  | 29 | 154 | 5 | 188 |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ Experimental groups include the release strategy and the target release size (fpp $=$ fish per pound), and density (gpl = grams per liter).
${ }^{b}$ Actual numbers of CWT fish recovered at the Imnaha River weir. Fish were collected for broodstock and sampled in the hatchery.
${ }^{c}$ Estimated number (the estimated spawning population above the weir, the number of redds above the weir, the fish/redd estimate from above the weir, the number of redds below the weir and the proportion of fish sampled from the hatchery with these tag codes) of total CWT fish that spawned naturally in the Imnaha River. No fish were passed above the weir in 1995. We estimated that 77 CWT fish escaped before the weir was operating. We estimated that 77 CWT fish spawned below the weir.
${ }^{d}$ Estimated number (from PSMFC and ODFW databases) of total CWT fish that were recovered in the ocean, mainstem Columbia, Deschutes or Snake river fisheries, or in tributaries outside the Imnaha River. When CWT expansion factors were greater than 24 (because of a low sampling rate) unexpanded data were used.
${ }^{e}$ Estimated total by summing all recoveries.

Table 14. Summary of anadromous adult recoveries of coded-wire tagged (CWT), Rapid River spring Chinook for the 1995 return year. All CWT fish were from releases of hatchery fish into Lookingglass Creek.

| Brood year | Experimental group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Recoveries at weir | Recoveries at Lower Granite | Other in-basin recoveries ${ }^{b}$ | Out-of-basin recoveries ${ }^{c}$ | Total recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | $12 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 074746 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | $12 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075055 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.6 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.6 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075306 | 2 | $0$ | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 1991 | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071546 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071547 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071548 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 19 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071549 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071550 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071551 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071552 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071553 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071554 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071555 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071556 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071557 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071558 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| 1992 | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070440 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070439 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070438 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070437 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070436 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070435 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075308 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 075307 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070448 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070447 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Grand total of recoveries in 1995 |  | 27 | 130 | 6 | 10 | 173 |

[^2]Table 15. Summary of anadromous adult recoveries of coded-wire tagged (CWT), Imnaha River stock, spring Chinook for the 1996 return year.

| Brood year | Experimental group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Recoveries at weir ${ }^{b}$ | Other in-basin recoveries ${ }^{c}$ | Out-of-basin recoveries ${ }^{d}$ | Total recoveries ${ }^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 10 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071538 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 10 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 10 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071540 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 10 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 071541 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| 1992 | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 14 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070118 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 14 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 14 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 076362 | 13 | 54 | 0 | 67 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 14 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 076363 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 11 |
|  | Direct, $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 14 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070116 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 16 |
|  | Direct, $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 14 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 12 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070745 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Acclim., $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 12 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070746 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 12 |
|  | Direct, $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 12 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070747 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 22 |
|  | Direct, $25 \mathrm{fpp}, 12 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070748 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
|  | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 12 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070750 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 12 |
|  | Acclim., $15 \mathrm{fpp}, 12 \mathrm{gpl}$ | 070751 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 29 |
|  | Grand total of recoveries in 1996 |  | 55 | 133 | 4 | 192 |

${ }^{a}$ Experimental groups include the release strategy and the target release size (fpp $=$ fish per pound), and density (gpl = grams per liter).
${ }^{b}$ Actual numbers of CWT fish recovered at the Imnaha River weir. Fish were collected for broodstock and sampled in the hatchery.
${ }^{c}$ Estimated number (the estimated spawning population above the weir, the number of redds above the weir, the fish/redd estimate from above the weir, the number of redds below the weir and the proportion of fish sampled from the hatchery with these tag codes) of total CWT fish that spawned naturally in the Imnaha River. We estimated that 23 CWT fish were passed above the weir in 1996. We estimated that 39 CWT fish escaped before the weir was operating. We estimated that 71 CWT fish spawned below the weir.
${ }^{d}$ Estimated number (from PSMFC and ODFW databases) of total CWT fish that were recovered in the ocean, mainstem Columbia, Deschutes or Snake river fisheries, or in tributaries outside the Imnaha River. When CWT expansion factors were greater than 24 (because of a low sampling rate) unexpanded data were used.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Estimated total by summing all recoveries.

Table 16. Summary of anadromous adult recoveries of coded-wire tagged (CWT), Rapid River spring Chinook for the 1996 return year. All CWT fish were from releases of hatchery fish into Lookingglass Creek.

| Brood year | Experimental group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Recoveries at weir | Recoveries at Lower Granite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { in-basin } \\ \text { recoveries }^{b} \end{gathered}$ | Out-of-basin recoveries ${ }^{c}$ | Total recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 071546 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 071547 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 071548 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 071549 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 071550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 071551 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 071552 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 071553 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 071554 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 071555 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 071556 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 071557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 071558 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070441 | 2 | 44 | 1 | 5 | 52 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070440 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070439 | 6 | 55 | 1 | 2 | 64 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070438 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070437 | 5 | 108 | 1 | 3 | 117 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070436 | 4 | 71 | 1 | 2 | 78 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070435 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070434 | 7 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 43 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 075308 | 2 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 72 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 075307 | 4 | 68 | 1 | 4 | 77 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070448 | 3 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 37 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 8.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 070447 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 28 |
| 1993 | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070829 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 070828 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070827 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 13.4 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 070826 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ | 070825 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070824 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070823 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Accl, $20 \mathrm{fpp}, 13.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ | 070822 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Grand total of recoveries in 1995 |  | $37^{\text {d }}$ | $549^{\text {e }}$ | 7 | 26 | 619 |

[^3]Table 17. Catch and escapement distribution of hatchery adult spring Chinook salmon by recovery location in 1995. (Data summarized through January 2000 from the PSMFC and ODFW CWT recovery databases.)

| Location | Imnaha Stock |  | Rapid River Stock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of total | Expanded adults | Percent of total | Expanded adults |
| Ocean catch |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Columbia River |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty net |  | 0 | 4.7 | 10 |
| Non-treaty net |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Sport |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Deschutes River |  |  |  |  |
| Traps | 1.6 | 3 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Sport |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| C and $\mathrm{S}^{a}$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Strays |  |  |  |  |
| Outside Snake R. Basin | 1.1 | 2 | 5.3 | 11 |
| Within Snake R. Basin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Recruitment to river ${ }^{b}$ | 97.3 | 183 | 89.0 | 186 |
| Total estimated return |  | 188 |  | 209 |
| Return to compensation area |  | 183 |  | 187 |
| Percent of compensation goal |  | 5.7 |  | 9.1 |
| ${ }^{a}$ C and S indicates ceremonial and subsistence tribal fisheries. |  |  |  |  |
| Indicates areas defining the River/Carson stock is 2,300 adults returning for each stock | compensation <br> dults and the k in 1995 is | a. The com for Imnaha lated in Ta | goal for Rap 3,210 adult d 14 . | Expanded |

Table 18. Catch and escapement distribution of hatchery adult spring Chinook salmon by recovery location in 1996. (Data summarized through January 2000 from the PSMFC and ODFW CWT recovery databases.)

| Location | Imnaha Stock |  | Rapid River Stock |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of total | Expanded adults | Percent of total | Expanded adults |
| Ocean catch | 1.6 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Columbia River |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty net | 0.0 | 0 | 2.5 | 15 |
| Non-treaty net | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Sport | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Deschutes River |  |  |  |  |
| Traps | 0.0 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| Sport | 0.0 | 0 | 0.3 | 2 |
| C and $\mathrm{S}^{a}$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Strays |  |  |  |  |
| Outside Snake R. Basin | 0.5 | 1 | 0.7 | 4 |
| Within Snake R. Basin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0.7 | 4 |
| Recruitment to river ${ }^{b}$ | 97.9 | 188 | 95.7 | $593{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Total estimated return |  | 192 |  | 619 |
| Return to compensation area |  | 188 |  | 597 |
| Percent of compensation goal |  | 5.9 |  | 26.0 |
| ${ }^{a} C$ and $S$ indicates ceremonial and subsistence tribal fisheries. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{b}$ Indicates areas defining the compensation area. The compensation goal for Rapid River/Carson stock is 2,300 adults and the goal for Imnaha stock is 3,210 adults. Expanded adults returning for each stock in 1996 is calculated in Tables 15 and 16. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{c}$ Includes 549 fish trapped at Lower Granite Dam and trucked to Lookingglass Fish Hatchery. |  |  |  |  |

Table 19. Summary of adipose-clipped Chinook salmon carcass recoveries during spawning ground surveys in 1995. The multiple tag recoveries in each group are shown in parentheses next to the location.

| Location (number) | CWT code | Release site |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Imnaha River (1) | 075847 | Imnaha Acclimation Pond (Brood Year 1990) |
| Imnaha River (1) | 071539 | Imnaha Acclimation Pond (Brood Year 1991) |
| Imnaha River (1) | 070117 | Imnaha Acclimation Pond (Brood Year 1992) |
| Imnaha River (2) | No Tag | Unknown Origin |
| Imnaha River (1) | No Snout | Unknown Origin |
| Wenaha River (1) | 071548 | Lookingglass Fish Hatchery (Brood Year 1991) |

Table 20. Summary of marked and unmarked spring Chinook salmon carcasses recovered by stream during spawning ground surveys in 1995. These recoveries do not distinguish between unmarked hatchery and natural fish recovered on the spawning grounds. Some of the unmarked fish are of hatchery origin because a large percentage of the 1990 brood year was not marked.

| Stream | Marked | Unmarked | Percent marked |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grande Ronde River Basin |  |  |
| Bear Creek | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hurricane Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lostine River | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Wallowa River | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grande Ronde River | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Catherine Creek | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Lookingglass Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minam River | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Wenaha River | 1 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 33 |
| Big Sheep Creek | Imnaha River Basin |  |  |
| Imnaha River | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lick Creek | 6 | 17 | 0 |

Table 21. Age composition of Chinook salmon carcasses recovered in 1995 during surveys in the Imnaha and Grande Ronde river basins. $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Male}, \mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Female}$.

| Statistic | Age 3 |  | Age 4 |  | Age 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| Grande Ronde River Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent of total | 5 | 5 | 50 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean length (mm) | 480 | 570 | 673 | 687 | - | - |
| Standard deviation | - | - | 89 | 59 | - | - |
| Imnaha River Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 4 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Percent of total | 17 | 0 | 35 | 9 | 13 | 26 |
| Mean length (mm) | 609 | - | 834 | 768 | 1017 | 906 |
| Standard deviation | 17 | - | 81 | 25 | 25 | 44 |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ Sex could not be determined for an additional three four-year-olds in the Grande Ronde Basin.

Table 22. Summary of adipose-clipped Chinook salmon carcass recoveries during spawning ground surveys in 1996. The multiple tag recoveries in each group are shown in parentheses next to the location.

| Location (number) | CWT code | Release site |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Imnaha River (1) | 070751 | Imnaha Acclimation Pond (Brood Year 1993) |
| Imnaha River (4) | 076362 | Imnaha Acclimation Pond (Brood Year 1992) |
| Imnaha River (1) | 076363 | Imnaha Acclimation Pond (Brood Year 1992) |
| Imnaha River (2) | No Tag | Unknown Origin |
| Lostine River (1) | 070439 | Lookingglass Creek (Brood Year 1992) |
| Lostine River (1) | 070447 | Lookingglass Creek (Brood Year 1992) |
| Lostine River (1) | No Snout | Unknown Origin |
| Minam River (1) | 053205 | Dworshak National Fish Hatchery (Brd.Yr. |
|  |  | 1992) |
| Minam River (1) | 075307 | Lookingglass Creek (Brood Year 1992) |
| Minam River (1) | No Tag | Unknown Origin |
| Wenaha River (1) | 070441 | Lookingglass Creek (Brood Year 1992) |
| Wenaha River (1) | No Tag | Unknown Origin |

Table 23. Summary of marked and unmarked spring Chinook salmon carcass recoveries by stream during spawning ground surveys in 1996.

| Stream | Marked | Unmarked | Percent marked |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grande Ronde River Basin |  |  |
| Bear Creek | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hurricane Creek | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lostine River | 3 | 21 | 0 |
| Wallowa River | 0 | 0 | 12.5 |
| Grande Ronde River | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Catherine Creek | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lookingglass Creek | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Minam River | 2 | 60 | 0 |
| Wenaha River | 1 | 47 | 3.2 |
|  |  |  | 2.1 |
| Big Sheep Creek | Imnaha River Basin |  |  |
| Imnaha River | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lick Creek | 6 | 62 | 8.8 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 24. Age composition of Chinook salmon carcasses recovered in 1996 during surveys in the Imnaha and Grande Ronde river basins. $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Male}, \mathrm{F}=$ Female.

| Statistic | Age 3 |  | Age 4 |  | Age 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| Grande Ronde River Basin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 5 | 1 | 45 | 90 | 1 | 1 |
| Percent of total | 3 | 1 | 31 | 63 | 1 | 1 |
| Mean length (mm) | 563 | 640 | 770 | 733 | 960 | 810 |
| Standard deviation | 125 | - | 45 | 45 | - | - |
| Imnaha River Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 4 | 0 | 37 | 21 | 2 | 4 |
| Percent of total | 6 | 0 | 54 | 31 | 3 | 6 |
| Mean length (mm) | 602 | - | 768 | 800 | 915 | 896 |
| Standard deviation | 59 | - | 46 | 47 | 7 | 39 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ There was one 2-year-old precocial male $(460 \mathrm{~mm})$ recovered in the Grande Ronde Basin.

## SECTION II

## SUMMER STEELHEAD

The main objectives of this report section are to document fish culture practices, describe adult returns, and assess success towards meeting LSRCP goals. In this section we report on juvenile steelhead rearing and release activities for the 1994 and 1995 brood years (BY) released in 1995 and 1996, respectively. Included are collection, spawning, and adult characteristics for the 1995 and 1996 returns, returns from experimental releases, supplementation in Little Sheep Creek, and success toward achieving compensation goals.

## Juveniles

## 1995

Green egg-to-smolt survival for the 1994 BY was within the normal range observed in the past (Table 25) for both Wallowa and Imnaha stocks. The reported embryo-to-smolt survival of $100 \%$ is greater than the actual value. The error is a result of variance in the life stage specific inventories. We achieved our Wallowa stock production goal of $1,350,000$ smolts and our Imnaha stock production goal of 330,000 smolts in 1995. We marked $100 \%$ of the smolts with an adipose fin clip. To evaluate different rearing and release strategies, we marked and released five groups of Wallowa stock and three groups of Imnaha stock fish with adipose-left ventral clips and coded-wire-tags (AdLV and CWT) (Table 26). Tag retention and fin clip quality were normal for all groups except for two of the Wallowa stock groups, which had below normal levels of tag retention and recognizable clips. Details of production and experimental releases of the 1994 BY are printed in Tables 27 and 28.

## 1996

Green egg-to-smolt survival for the 1995 BY was normal (Table 29) for both Wallowa and Imnaha stocks. We achieved our smolt production goal for Wallowa stock, however we were slightly below the goal for Imnaha stock. We marked $100 \%$ of the smolts released in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha basins with an adipose fin clip. To evaluate the influence of acclimation and volitional release on smolt-to-adult survival and to monitor survival of major production releases, we marked (AdLV and CWT) six groups of Wallowa stock and four groups of Imnaha stock smolts (Table 30). Fin clip quality and tag retention were excellent for Wallowa stock and within normal range for Imnaha stock. Release information for production and experimental releases of 1995 BY are presented in Tables 31 and 32.

## Adults

1995
All weirs were installed and operational before March 1,1995 (Table 33). Returns to Little Sheep Creek Facility were predominately hatchery fish and only 17 natural fish returned. Similar to Little Sheep Creek, most of the adults that returned to Big Canyon Facility were hatchery origin and only 31 natural fish returned. Run timing of hatchery fish was similar to natural fish at Little Sheep Creek. The majority of hatchery adults that returned to Wallowa Fish Hatchery and Big Canyon spent one year in the ocean (Table 34). In contrast, a majority of the natural fish that returned to Big Canyon spent two years in the ocean. At Little Sheep Creek, a majority of the hatchery and natural fish spent one year in the ocean.

All but 30 hatchery adults that returned to Wallowa Fish Hatchery and Big Canyon in 1995 were retained for spawning. In addition, we hauled 248 Wallowa stock adults from Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's (WDFW) Cottonwood Facility on the lower Grande Ronde River for spawning. At Big Canyon all natural fish and 30 hatchery fish were passed above the weir to spawn naturally. We retained $87.8 \%$ of the hatchery fish and $29.4 \%$ of the natural fish for spawning at Little Sheep Creek. Natural fish not retained for spawning were released above the weir to spawn naturally. Hatchery fish comprised $73.9 \%$ of the fish released above the weir at Little Sheep Creek. Length-at-age data for Wallowa stock adults are presented in Figure 9 and Imnaha stock adult data are presented in Figure 10.

We did not achieve our egg take goal for Wallowa or Imnaha stock in 1995 due to low adult returns. The percent mortality from green egg-to-eyed embryo for both stocks was similar to past years (Table 35).

1996
Weirs were installed in late February at Wallowa Fish Hatchery and Big Canyon Facility. The weir was installed later than normal, on March 6, at Little Sheep Creek. Hatchery fish comprised $90.4 \%$ of the returns to Little Sheep Creek with only 47 natural fish. Most of the adults that returned to Big Canyon were hatchery origin with only 29 natural fish (Table 36). Typical of most years, the majority of hatchery adults that returned to Wallowa Fish Hatchery and Big Canyon were fish that spent one year in the ocean (Table 37). We did observe a higher proportion of fish that spent two years in the ocean at Big Canyon than at Wallowa Fish Hatchery. A majority of the natural fish at Big Canyon also spent one year in the ocean. At Little Sheep Creek, a majority of the hatchery and natural adults spent one year in the ocean; however, we observed a higher proportion of hatchery fish that spent two years in the ocean, similar to Big Canyon.

A majority of the hatchery adults that returned to Wallowa Fish Hatchery and Big Canyon were retained for spawning. We did outplant 164 to local ponds for harvest opportunity. We passed all natural fish above the weir at Big Canyon for natural production. We retained a majority of the hatchery fish and $25.5 \%$ of the natural fish at

Little Sheep Creek for spawning. Hatchery fish comprised $66.0 \%$ of the adults released above the weir to spawn naturally. Length-at-age data for Wallowa stock adults are presented in Figure 11, and Imnaha stock data are presented in Figure 12.

Egg take goals for both Wallowa and Imnaha stocks were exceeded in 1996. Excess Wallowa stock eyed embryos were euthanized (Table 38). The percent mortality from green egg-to-eyed embryo for Wallowa stock was normal; however, the survival rate for Imnaha stock was higher than normal.

## Experimental group returns

The number of coded-wire-tagged and adipose clipped adults that are harvested and return to recapture sites are used to estimate various performance parameters. These numbers allow us to monitor our success toward meeting the LSRCP goals, to estimate straying rates, and to determine contributions to recreational, tribal, and commercial fisheries. They also provide the basis for the evaluation of the success of experimental rearing and release strategies. The numbers of recoveries for each CWT code were summarized from the CWT recovery database maintained by PSMFC, ODFW's CWT recovery database, and from data reported by WDFW and Idaho Department of Fish and Game. We enumerated the actual number of CWTed fish that returned to each hatchery facility. Our protocol was to collect all fish marked with a CWT when they were spawned or died.

## 1995

Wallowa and Imnaha adults that returned in 1995 were from groups released to evaluate the survival benefits of acclimation. Adult returns from brood years 1990-1992 occurred in 1995. We had Wallowa stock recoveries from 19 CWT codes (Table 39) and Imnaha stock recoveries from 7 CWT codes (Table 40).

## 1996

Wallowa and Imnaha stock adults that returned in 1996 were from releases to evaluate the benefits of acclimation. Adult returns were from brood years 1991-1993. We had Wallowa stock recoveries from 13 CWT codes (Table 41) and Imnaha stock recoveries from eight CWT codes (Table 42).

## Compensation goals

Goals for returns to the compensation area are 9,184 adults for the Grande Ronde Basin (Wallowa stock) and 2,000 adults for the Imnaha Basin (Imnaha stock). The compensation area is defined as the watershed above Ice Harbor Dam. To provide a cumulative summary of disposition for all adults that returned to the compensation area, we expanded CWT recoveries to account for the non-CWT fish that returned.

1994-1995 run year
For the Wallowa stock, we estimated that in the 1994-95 run year 2,232 hatchery origin adults returned to the compensation area (Table 43). This represented $24.3 \%$ of the compensation goal. For the Imnaha stock, we estimated that 320 hatchery origin adults returned to the compensation area, accounting for only $16 \%$ of the compensation goal.

## 1995-1996 run year

For the Wallowa stock, we estimated that in the 1995-96 run year 3,976 hatchery origin adults returned to the compensation area, representing $43.3 \%$ of the compensation goal (Table 44). For the Imnaha stock, we estimated that 626 adults returned to the compensation area, accounting for $31.3 \%$ of the compensation goal.

There are three principle factors that influence success in meeting the compensation goal: number of smolts released for the brood years that produced the adults; smolt-to-adult survival; and capture of fish below the compensation area in fisheries and as strays. We have yet to reach our compensation goals. For both the Grande Ronde and Imnaha programs we have met our smolt production goals in most years, thus falling short of our adult compensation goals cannot be attributed to this factor. Returns in the 1994-1995 run year represented completed returns for the 1990 BY. Returns in the 1995-1996 run year represented the final returns of the 1991 BY. Total smolt-to-adult survival rates for the 1990 BY Wallowa and Imnaha stocks were $1.11 \%$ and $0.99 \%$, respectively. For the 1991 BY, Wallowa and Imnaha stocks survival rates were $0.08 \%$ and $0.01 \%$, respectively. For the Wallowa stock $47 \%$ of the recoveries for the 1994-1995 run year occurred downstream of the compensation area, and for the 1995-1996 run year 50\% occurred downstream. A smaller percentage of Imnaha stock were recovered downstream of the compensation area; $32 \%$ for the 1994-1995 run year and $37 \%$ for the 1995-1996 run year.

The Imnaha steelhead supplementation program allows us to evaluate and compare productivity (progeny produced per parent) of hatchery fish and naturally spawning fish. Progeny-per-parent ratios for naturally spawning fish have been below 1.0 for completed brood years 1987-1991 (Figure 13). Hatchery fish progeny-per-parent ratios have been above 1.0 for all brood years except 1991. Hatchery rates exceeded natural rates for all brood years except 1991. One purpose of the supplementation program is to enhance or stabilize natural fish abundance. Annual abundance of naturally-produced fish has been highly variable; however, we have not observed an increasing trend in the abundance of natural fish as a result of supplementation (Figure 14).


Figure 9. Length-at-age relationships for Wallowa stock summer steelhead for the A) 1991-1994 and B) 1995 broodstocks. Guidelines that were developed from 1990-1994 broodstock and used as visual indications of age are presented in boxes.


Figure 10. Length-at-age relationships for Imnaha stock summer steelhead for the A) 1991-1994 and B) 1995 broodstocks. Guidelines that were developed from 1990-1994 broodstock and used as visual indications of age are presented in boxes.


Figure 11. Length-at-age relationships for Wallowa stock summer steelhead for the A) 19911995 and B) 1996 broodstocks. Guidelines that were developed from 1990-1994 broodstock and used as visual indications of age are presented in boxes.


Figure 12. Length-at-age relationships for Imnaha stock summer steelhead for the A) 1991-1995 and B) 1996 broodstocks. Guidelines that were developed from 1990-1994 broodstock and used as visual indications of age are presented in boxes.


Figure 13. Progeny-to-parent ratios for Little Sheep Creek summer steelhead, brood years 19871991.


Figure 14. Returns of naturally produced summer steelhead to Little Sheep Creek, 1985-1996.

Table 25. Summary of egg collection and juvenile survival for 1994 brood year summer steelhead released in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha river basins at LSRCP facilities in 1995.

| Stock | Number of <br> eggs taken | Eyed <br> embryos |  | Estimated survival rate $^{\text {Egg-to-embryo }{ }^{a}}$ |  | Embryo-to-smolt ${ }^{b}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Total fish | released |
| :---: |

${ }^{a}$ Eggs that were culled from production and not fertilized and incubated at Wallowa Fish Hatchery were subtracted from the calculation of egg-to-embryo survival.
${ }^{b}$ Embryos that were culled from production and not incubated and reared at Irrigon Fish Hatchery were subtracted from the calculation of embryo-to-smolt survival.
${ }^{c}$ Includes 303,880 eggs taken for the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW).
${ }^{d}$ Includes 277,000 eyed embryos shipped to Lyons Ferry Fish Hatchery (WDFW) and 1,064,535 embryos that, after hatching, were euthanized as gradeouts or as excess to program needs.
${ }^{e}$ Includes 50,051 Wallowa stock smolts received from WDFW and released on the lower Grande Ronde River at Wildcat Creek.
${ }^{f}$ Includes 15,696 embryos that, after hatching, were euthanized as gradeouts or as excess to program needs.

Table 26. Estimates of fin clip quality and coded-wire tag retention for 1994 brood year summer steelhead reared at Irrigon Fish Hatchery and released in 1995. Experimental group indicates treatment and rearing raceway number. Targets for both Wallowa and Imnaha stocks were 100\% adipose clipped and releases of a size of 5 fish per pound (FPP). For experimental fish, targets for both stocks were $100 \%$ AdLV+CWT.

| Experimental group | Tag code | Number checked | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CWT } \\ & + \text { LV } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT + } \\ & \text { no LV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No CWT } \\ + \text { LV } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wallowa stock |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production, 3 | $075822^{\text {a }}$ | 402 | 92.5 | 6.5 | 1.0 |
| Production, 5 | 075823 | 345 | 98.5 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| Acclimated, 7 | 075824 | 406 | 98.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Acclimated, 9 | 075825 | 363 | 98.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Direct stream, 19 | 070920 | 359 | 93.9 | 1.7 | 4.4 |
| Average |  | 375 | 96.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Imnaha stock |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acclimated, 27 | 075820 | 401 | 96.8 | 0.5 | 2.7 |
| Acclimated, 28 | 075821 | 352 | 95.7 | 1.4 | 2.9 |
| Direct stream, 31 | 070919 | 376 | 96.5 | 1.1 | 2.4 |
| Average |  | 376 | 96.3 | 1.0 | 2.7 |

[^4]Table 27. Details of experimental and production groups of 1994 brood, Wallowa stock hatchery summer steelhead released in the Grande Ronde River Basin in 1995. Experimental group indicates release strategy and rearing raceway number. All production fish were acclimated. All fish were reared to a target size of 5 fish per pound (FPP). Standard deviation is shown in parentheses.

| Experimental group ${ }^{a}$ | FPP | Release date | Release location ${ }^{b}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weight <br> (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Condition } \\ \text { factor } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total fish released | Percent detected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Brand ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{PIT}^{\text {d }}$ |
| Direct stream, 19, 23- | 5.0 | Apr 10-12 | Gr. Ronde R. | - | 202 (18) | - | - | 200,023 | - | - |
| Direct stream, 26 | 5.3 | Apr 12 | Catherine Cr . | - | 202 (18) | - | - | 62,513 | - | - |
| Direct stream | 5.0 | Apr 24 | Wildcat Cr. | - | (18) | - | - | 50,051 | - | - |
| Production, 3 | 4.8 | Apr 16 | Spring Cr. | 075822 | 202 (17) | 95 (24) | 1.11 (0.07) | 24,729 | 61.4 | - |
| Production, 5 | 4.8 | Apr 16 | Spring Cr. | 075823 | 204 (20) | 94 (29) | 1.10 (0.07) | 27,155 | 61.8 | - |
| Production, 1-6,8,10, $12,14$ | 4.7 | Apr 16 | Spring Cr. | - | 201 (18) | 96 (20) | 1.14 (0.08) | 443,253 | - | - |
| Direct stream, 19 | 4.5 | Apr 21 | Deer Cr. | 070920 | 206 (19) | 102 (40) | 1.11 (0.12) | 50,524 | - | 72.6 |
| Direct stream, 19 | 4.5 | Apr 21 | Deer Cr. | - | - | - | - | 3,298 | - | - |
| Acclimated, 7 | 3.9 | Apr 21 | Deer Cr. | 075824 | 208 (17) | 111 (32) | 1.11 (0.08) | 26,990 |  | 73.1 |
| Acclimated, 9 | 3.9 | Apr 21 | Deer Cr. | 075825 |  |  | - | 27,281 | - | 69.4 |
| Acclimated, 7,9,11, 13,14 | 4.2 | Apr 21 | Deer Cr. | - | 208 (18) | 107 (29) | 1.10 (0.08) | 170,685 | - | - |
| Production, 15-17,22 | 4.6 | May 5 | Spring Cr. | - | 211 (16) | 99 (24) | 1.00 (0.07) | 162,296 | - | - |
| Production, 17,18,20 | 4.4 | May 8 | Deer Cr. | - | 208 (19) | 103 (25) | 1.10 (0.07) | 154,196 | - | - |

Total released 1,402,994
${ }^{\bar{a}}$ All fish were reared at Irrigon Fish Hatchery (ODFW) except for Wildcat Cr. releases reared at Lyons Ferry Fish Hatchery (WDFW).
${ }^{b}$ Grande Ronde River releases were at river mile 156-159. Catherine Creek releases were at river mile 17-18. Wildcat Creek releases were in the Lower Grande Ronde River at river mile 53.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Brand detections are expanded detections at Lower Granite Dam. Fish branded LA-J-1, RA-J-1 represent tag codes 075822 and 075823.
${ }^{d}$ Percent PIT tag detections are unique detections at all mainstem Columbia and lower Snake river dams.
${ }^{e}$ CWT codes 075824 and 075825 were held in the same acclimation pond and were not distinguishable based on an external mark.
${ }^{f}$ Wallowa stock steelhead male releases were $1 \%$ precocial.

Table 28. Details of experimental and production groups of 1994 brood, Imnaha stock hatchery summer steelhead released in the Imnaha River Basin in 1995. Experimental group indicates release strategy and rearing raceway number. All fish were reared to a target size of 5 fish per pound (FPP). Standard deviation is shown in parentheses.

| Experimental group $^{a}$ | FPP | Release date | Release location ${ }^{b}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{mm} \end{gathered}$ | Weight g $\qquad$ | Condition factor | Total fish released | Percent detected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Brand ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{PIT}^{d}$ |
| Direct stream, 29 | 6.9 | Apr 28 | Imnaha R. | - | 182 (24) | - | - | 50,676 | - | - |
| Direct stream, 31 | 5.2 | May 1 | L. Sheep Cr. | 070919 | 202 (20) | 87 (26) | 1.07 (0.08) | 54,985 | 1.6 | 51.1 |
| Direct stream, 31 | 5.2 | May 1 | L. Sheep Cr. | - | - | - | - | 1,969 | - | - |
| Acclimated, 27 | 5.0 | May 1 | L. Sheep Cr. | 075820 | 201 (18) | 91 (24) | 1.09 (0.07) | 26,980 | 60.2 | 65.4 |
| Acclimated, 28 | 5.0 | May 1 | L. Sheep Cr. | 075821 | 200 (19) | 91 (24) | 1.11 (0.06) | 26,630 | 76.6 | 71.3 |
| Acclimated, 27,28, $30,32$ | 5.0 | May 1 | L. Sheep Cr. | - | 202 (17) | 91 (24) | 1.08 (0.07) | 177,272 | - | - |

${ }^{a}$ All fish were reared at Irrigon Fish Hatchery (ODFW).
${ }^{b}$ Imnaha River releases were near Fence Cr. at river mile 15.
${ }^{c}$ Brand detections are expanded detections at Lower Granite Dam. Fish branded RA-J-2, LA-J-2, and RA-J-4 represent tag codes 075820 , 075821, and 070919, respectively.
${ }^{d}$ Percent PIT tag detections are unique detections at all mainstem Columbia and lower Snake river dams.
${ }^{e}$ Imnaha stock steelhead male releases were $2 \%$ precocial.

Table 29. Summary of egg collection and juvenile survival for 1995 brood year summer steelhead released in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha river basins at LSRCP facilities in 1996.

| Stock | Number of eggs taken | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eyed } \\ \text { embryos } \end{gathered}$ | Estimated survival rate |  | Total fish released |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Egg-to-embryo ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Embryo-to-smolt ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| Wallowa | 1,602,367 | 1,381,350 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 86.2 | 98.3 | 1,359,348 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Imnaha | 341,925 | 310,330 | 90.8 | 100.0 | 322,103 |

${ }^{a}$ Eggs that were culled from production and not fertilized and incubated at Wallowa Fish Hatchery were subtracted from the calculation of egg-to-embryo survival.
${ }^{b}$ Embryos that were culled from production and not incubated and reared at Irrigon Fish Hatchery were subtracted from the calculation of embryo-to-smolt survival.
${ }^{c}$ Includes 12,992 embryos that, after hatching, were euthanized as gradeouts or as excess to program needs.
${ }^{d}$ Includes 13,919 Wallowa stock smolts received from WDFW and released on the lower Grande Ronde River at Mud Creek and 3,004 fish held back and reared as rainbow trout.

Table 30. Estimates of fin clip quality and coded-wire tag retention for 1995 brood year summer steelhead reared at Irrigon Fish Hatchery and released in 1996. Experimental group indicates treatment and rearing raceway number. Targets for both Wallowa and Imnaha stocks were $100 \%$ adipose clipped and releases of a size of 5 fish per pound (FPP). For experimental fish, targets for both stocks were $100 \%$ AdLV+CWT.

| Experimental group | Tag code | Number checked | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CWT } \\ & +\mathrm{LV} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CWT + } \\ & \text { no LV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No CWT } \\ +\mathrm{LV} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Ad | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { No } \\ & \text { Ad } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wallowa stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forced, 3 | 071163 | 316 | 99.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 99.7 | 0.3 |
| Volitional, 5 | 071216 | 302 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 99.7 | 0.3 |
| Acclimated, 9 | 071159 | 311 | 99.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Acclimated, 11 | 071160 | 305 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Direct stream, 18 | 071161 | 340 | 97.1 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 99.1 | 0.9 |
| Direct stream, 18 | 071162 | 330 | 99.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 99.1 | 0.9 |
| Average |  | 317 | 99.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 99.6 | 0.4 |
| Imnaha stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acclimated, 29 | 071217 | 315 | 95.2 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 99.7 | 0.3 |
| Acclimated, 31 | 071218 | 329 | 97.9 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Direct stream, 30 | 071219 | 310 | 97.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 99.4 | 0.7 |
| Direct stream, 30 | 071220 | 355 | 98.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 99.4 | 0.7 |
| Average |  | 327 | 97.4 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 99.6 | 0.4 |

Table 31. Details of experimental and production groups of 1995 brood, Wallowa stock hatchery summer steelhead released in the Grande Ronde River Basin in 1996. Experimental group indicates release strategy and rearing raceway number. All production fish were acclimated. All fish were reared to a target size of 5 fish per pound (FPP). Standard deviation is shown in parentheses.

| Experimental group ${ }^{a}$ | FPP | Release date | Release location $^{b}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{mm} \end{gathered}$ | Weightg | Condition factor | Total fish released | Percent detected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Brand ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | PIT ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Direct stream, 16,17, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19,20 | 5.3 | Apr 8-11 | Gr. Ronde R. | - | 199(19) | - | - | 200,021 | - | - |
| Direct stream, 15,16 | 5.7 | Apr 10-11 | Catherine Cr. | - | 195(18) | - | - | 62,481 | - | - |
| Direct stream | 5.1 | Apr 19 | Mud Cr. | - | - | - | - | 13,919 | - | - |
| Forced, 3 | 5.1 | Apr 8 | Spring Cr. | 071163 | 206(19) | 89.4(25.1) | $1.00(0.09)$ | 27,311 | 64.7 | - |
| Volitional, 5 | 5.0 | Apr 9-23 | Spring Cr. | 071216 | 206(16) | 90.9(26.5) | $1.10(0.08)$ | 26,755 | 55.8 | - |
| Production, 1-8, 10,12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.4 | Apr 8-23 | Spring Cr. | - | 202(17) | 84.3(22.0) | 0.99(0.07) | 440,415 | - | - |
| Direct stream, 18 | 5.3 | Apr 17 | Deer Cr. | 071161 | 198(15) | 85.0(16.5) | $1.06(0.06)$ | 24,759 | - | 68.8 |
| Direct stream, 18 | 5.3 | Apr 17 | Deer Cr . | 071162 | ${ }_{e}$ | e | (0.06) | 25,280 | - |  |
| Direct stream, 18 | 5.3 | Apr 17 | Deer Cr. | - | ${ }^{e}$ | ${ }^{e}$ | ${ }^{e}$ | 904 | - | - |
| Acclimated, 9 | 4.8 | Apr 17 | Deer Cr. | 071159 | 209(17) | 94.7(23.9) | $1.02(0.06)$ | 27,223 | - | 63.2 |
| Acclimated, 11 | 4.8 | Apr 17 | Deer Cr. | 071160 | f | $f$ | $f$ | 27,289 | - | 69.0 |
| Production, $9,11,13,14$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.0 | Apr 17 | Deer Cr. | - | 205(18) | 90.9(23.2) | 1.03(0.11) | 168,325 | - | - |
| Production, 21-23 | 4.3 | May 15 | Spring Cr. | - | 216(19) | 110(39.5) | 1.04(0.09) | 161,894 | - | - |
| Production, 24-26 | 4.9 | May 6 | Deer Cr. | - | 208(22) | 93.4(28.8) | $1.00(0.08)$ | 149,768 | - | - |
| Total released ${ }^{g}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,356,344 | - | - |

[^5]Table 32. Details of experimental and production groups of 1995 brood, Imnaha stock hatchery summer steelhead released in the Imnaha River Basin in 1996. Experimental group indicates release strategy and rearing raceway number. All fish were reared to a target size of 5 fish per pound (FPP). Standard deviation is shown in parentheses.

| Experimental group ${ }^{a}$ | FPP | Release date | Release location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Length mm | Weight <br> g | Condition factor | Total fish released | Percent detected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Brand ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | PIT ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Direct stream, 30 | 5.2 | Apr 29 | L. Sheep Cr. | 071219 | 202(16) | 86.6(20.3) | 1.04(0.07) | 26,342 | 44.9 | 55.5 |
| Direct stream, 30 | 5.2 | Apr 29 | L. Sheep Cr. | 071220 |  | d ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 26,315 | - |  |
| Direct stream, 30 | 5.2 | Apr 29 | L. Sheep Cr. | - | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | d | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 909 | - | - |
| Acclimated, 29 | 5.5 | Apr 29 | L. Sheep Cr. | 071217 | 201(16) | 91.5(24.0) | 1.08(0.06) | 26,025 | 20.8 | 58.8 |
| Acclimated, 31 | 5.5 | Apr 29 | L. Sheep Cr. | 071218 | 206(16) | 97.9(20.4) | 1.10(0.06) | 26,986 | 28.5 | 64.6 |
| Acclimated, 27-29, 31,32 | 5.5 | Apr 29 | L. Sheep Cr. | - | 194(17) | 81.9(19.8) | 1.08(0.07) | 215,526 | - | - |
| Total released ${ }^{e}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 322,103 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ All fish were reared at Irrigon Hatchery (ODFW).
${ }^{b}$ Brand detections are expanded detections at Lower Granite Dam. Fish branded LA-A-2 and RA-A-2 represent tag codes 071217 and 071218. Fish branded RA-A-4 represent tag codes 071219 and 071220.
${ }^{c}$ Percent PIT tag detections are unique detections at all mainstem Columbia and lower Snake river dams.
${ }^{d}$ CWT codes 071219 and 071220 were held in the same raceway. PIT- tagged fish represent both CWT codes.
${ }^{e}$ Imnaha stock steelhead male releases were $2 \%$ precocial.

Table 33. Timing of adult steelhead returns to LSRCP facilities in 1995 by location and origin.

| Period | Week of the year | Number of fish trapped ${ }^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wallowa |  | Big Canyon |  | Little Sheep |  |
|  |  | Hatchery | Natural ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Hatchery | Natural | Hatchery | Natural |
| Feb 05-11 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb 12-18 | 7 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Feb 19-25 | 8 | 4 | - | 12 | 1 | - | - |
| Feb 26-Mar 04 | 9 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | - | - |
| Mar 05-11 | 10 | 17 | - | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Mar 12-18 | 11 | 29 | - | 25 | 1 | 20 | 0 |
| Mar 19-25 | 12 | 52 | - | 51 | 5 | 35 | 4 |
| Mar 26-Apr 01 | 13 | 8 | - | 25 | 0 | 20 | 2 |
| Apr 02-08 | 14 | 88 | - | 44 | 0 | 77 | 7 |
| Apr 09-15 | 15 | 60 | - | 74 | 10 | 40 | 2 |
| Apr 16-22 | 16 | 15 | - | 15 | 0 | 27 | 1 |
| Apr 23-29 | 17 | 35 | - | 13 | 2 | 19 | 0 |
| Apr 30-May 06 | 18 | 5 | - | 67 | 8 | 28 |  |
| May 07-13 | 19 | 3 | - | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| May 14-20 | 20 | 1 | - | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| May 21-27 | 21 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| May 27-Jun 03 | 22 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Jun 04-10 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 318 | - | 349 | 31 | 278 | 17 |

${ }^{a}$ Weirs installed February 8 at Big Canyon (Deer Cr.), and February 28 at Little Sheep, as well as ladder opened February 17 at Wallowa Fish Hatchery. Adult collections stopped June 1, June 1, and June 2 at Wallowa, Big Canyon, and Little Sheep, respectively.
${ }^{b}$ Natural fish were not distinguished from hatchery fish at Wallowa.

Table 34. Numbers and disposition of adult steelhead that returned to LSRCP facilities, including those transferred to Wallowa Hatchery from WDFW's Cottonwood Facility in 1995 by stock, origin, age ( $\mathrm{FW}: \mathrm{SW}$ ), and sex (M=Male, F=Female).

| Stock, Disposition ${ }^{a}$ | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1:1 |  | 1:2 |  | 1:3 |  | 2:1 |  | Total | 2:1 |  | 2:2 |  | Total |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| Wallowa Hatchery (Wallowa stock) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trapped | 181 | 74 | 31 | 20 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318 |
| Passed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outplanted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kept | 181 | 74 | 31 | 20 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318 |
| Mortality | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Spawned | 147 | 72 | 27 | 20 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 |
| Killed | 27 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Fork Length (mm) | 583 | 574 | 691 | 689 | 755 | 726 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Standard deviation | (25) | (21) | (56) | (40) | (22) | (39) | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Big Canyon Facility (Wallowa stock) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trapped | 138 | 144 | 18 | 35 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 349 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 19 | 31 | 380 |
| Passed | 18 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 19 | 31 | 61 |
| Outplanted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kept ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 120 | 137 | 15 | 33 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 319 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 |
| Mortality | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Spawned | 101 | 133 | 13 | 33 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 294 |
| Killed | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Fork Length (mm) | 576 | 572 | 642 | 673 | - | 747 | 655 | 578 |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Standard deviation | (24) | (25) | (46) | (39) | - | (15) | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |

Table 34. Continued.

| Stock, <br> Disposition ${ }^{a}$ | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural |  |  |  |  | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1:1 |  | 1:2 |  | 1:3 |  | 2:1 |  | Total | 2:1 |  | 2:2 |  | Total |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| Cottonwood Facility (Wallowa stock) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trapped ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 81 | 109 | 20 | 24 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 248 |
| Passed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outplanted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kept | 81 | 109 | 20 | 24 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 248 |
| Mortality | 11 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 |
| Spawned | 59 | 97 | 16 | 19 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 201 |
| Killed | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Fork Length (mm) | 603 | 592 | 702 | 719 | 803 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Standard deviation | (26) | (27) | (15) | (35) | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Little Sheep Creek Facility (Imnaha stock) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trapped | 172 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 278 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 295 |
| Passed | 28 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 46 |
| Outplanted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kept | 144 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 244 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 249 |
| Mortality | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Spawned | 98 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 201 |
| Killed | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Fork Length (mm) | 579 | 563 | 755 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 544 | - | 715 |  |  |
| Standard deviation | (29) | (28) | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Adults that were misidentified during spawning at Wallowa Hatchery included 21 male and 35 female Big Canyon returns, and 7 male and 19 female WDFW Cottonwood Facility returns.
${ }^{b}$ All fish kept at Big Canyon Facility were transferred to Wallowa Hatchery for spawning.
${ }^{c}$ Fish trapped at WDFW's Cottonwood Facility on the lower Grande Ronde River were hauled to Wallowa Hatchery for spawning.

Table 35. Spawning summaries for summer steelhead at LSRCP facilities in 1995.

| Spawn date, Lot number | Parental origin ${ }^{a}$ | Number of eggs | Eyed embryos ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | \% mortality to shocking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wallowa Hatchery (Wallowa stock) |  |  |  |  |
| 3/14, wa295 | Hatchery | 52,000 | 44,500 | 14 |
| 3/21, wa296 | Hatchery | 119,100 | 106,800 | 10 |
| 3/28, wa297 | Hatchery | 61,782 | 60,000 | 3 |
| 4/4, wa298 | Hatchery | 132,000 | 116,900 | 11 |
| 4/11, wa299 | Hatchery | 335,500 | 285,200 | 15 |
| 4/12, wa300 | Hatchery | 103,000 | 88,000 | 15 |
| 4/18, wa301 | Hatchery | 232,900 | 183,100 | 21 |
| 4/25, wa302 | Hatchery | 214,400 | 197,700 | 8 |
| 5/2, wa303 | Hatchery | 231,200 | 185,700 | 20 |
| 5/9, wa304 | Hatchery | 76,830 | 72,000 | 6 |
| 5/16, wa305 | Hatchery | 32,750 | 30,750 | 6 |
| 5/23, wa306 | Hatchery | 7,065 | 7,000 | 1 |
| 5/31, wa307 | Hatchery | 3,840 | 3,700 | 4 |
| Total |  | 1,602,367 | 1,381,350 | 13.8 |
| Little Sheep Creek Facility (Imnaha stock) |  |  |  |  |
| 3/30, li304 | Hatchery | 39,068 | 36,470 | 14 |
| 3/30, li304 | Mixed | 3,552 |  |  |
| 4/6, li305 | Hatchery | 44,230 | 40,760 | 8 |
| 4/13, li306 | Hatchery | 45,130 | 42,750 | 5 |
| 4/20, li307 | Hatchery | 70,455 | 67,260 | 9 |
| 4/20, li307 | Mixed | 3,355 |  |  |
| 4/27, li308 | Hatchery | 30,576 | 32,670 | 13 |
| 4/27, li308 | Mixed | 6,794 |  |  |
| 5/4, li309 | Hatchery | 45,895 | 41,330 | 10 |
| 5/11, li310 | Hatchery | 11,150 | 10,450 | 6 |
| 5/18, li311 | Hatchery | 3,980 | 7,040 | 12 |
| 5/18, li311 | Mixed | 3,980 |  |  |
| 5/23, li312 | Hatchery | 30,100 | 28,100 | 7 |
| 5/31, li313 | Hatchery | 3,660 | 3,500 | 4 |
| Subtotal | Hatchery | 324,244 |  |  |
| Subtotal | Mixed | 17,681 |  |  |
| Total |  | 341,925 | 310,330 | 9.2 |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ In general, family groups were pooled (two males x three females) for Wallowa stock and were matrix spawned (three males $x$ three females) for Imnaha stock. Mixed eggs include both natural and hatchery parents.
${ }^{b}$ Eyed embryos were inventoried on $4 / 6,4 / 21,4 / 28,5 / 1,5 / 5,5 / 12,5 / 19,5 / 24,5 / 29,6 / 5,6 / 7$, $6 / 15$, and 6/16/95.

Table 36. Timing of adult steelhead returns to LSRCP facilities in 1996 by location and origin.

| Period | Week of the year | Number of fish trapped ${ }^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wallowa |  | Big Canyon |  | Little Sheep |  |
|  |  | Hatchery | Natural ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Hatchery | Natural | Hatchery | Natural |
| Feb 05-11 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb 12-18 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb 19-25 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb 26-Mar 04 | 9 | 7 | - | 26 | 1 | - | - |
| Mar 05-11 | 10 | 22 | - | 78 | 1 | - | - |
| Mar 12-18 | 11 | 47 | - | 103 | 0 | 50 | 7 |
| Mar 19-25 | 12 | 112 | - | 14 | 1 | 117 | 9 |
| Mar 26-Apr 01 | 13 | 178 | - | 27 | 0 | 30 | 3 |
| Apr 02-08 | 14 | 164 | - | 77 | 8 | 102 | 1 |
| Apr 09-15 | 15 | 153 | - | 52 | 6 | 74 | 13 |
| Apr 16-22 | 16 | 72 | - | 17 | 1 | 32 | 7 |
| Apr 23-29 | 17 | 100 | - | 76 | 7 | 17 | 1 |
| Apr 30-May 06 | 18 | 74 | - | 6 | 2 | 9 | 3 |
| May 07-13 | 19 | 34 | - | 13 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| May 14-20 | 20 | 16 | - | 6 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| May 21-27 | 21 | 8 | - | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| May 27-Jun 03 | 22 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun 04-10 | 23 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  | Total | 988 | - | 498 | 29 | 442 | 47 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Weirs installed February 19 at Big Canyon (Deer Cr.), and March 6 at Little Sheep as well as ladder opened February 22 at Wallowa Fish Hatchery. Adult collections stopped June 5, June 4, and June 3 at Big Canyon, Little Sheep, and Wallowa, respectively. <br> ${ }^{b}$ Natural fish were not distinguished from hatchery fish at Wallowa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 37. Numbers and disposition of adult steelhead that returned to LSRCP facilities in 1996 by stock, origin, age (FW:SW), and sex ( $\mathrm{M}=$ Male, $\mathrm{F}=$ Female).

| Stock, Disposition | Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural |  |  |  |  | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1:1 |  | 1:2 |  | 1:3 |  | 2:1 |  | Total | 2:1 |  | 2:2 |  | Total |  |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |  | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| Wallowa Fish Hatchery (Wallowa stock) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trapped | 509 | 309 | 38 | 128 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 988 |
| Passed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outplants | 7 | 21 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Kept | 502 | 288 | 37 | 116 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 947 |
| Mortality | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Spawned | 455 | 263 | 33 | 114 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 869 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 869 |
| Killed | 38 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| Fork Length (mm) | 599 | 587 | 706 | 684 | - | 752 | 520 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Standard deviation | (27) | (25) | (37) | (29) | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Big Canyon Facility (Wallowa stock) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trapped | 130 | 141 | 45 | 180 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 498 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 29 | 527 |
| Passed | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 29 | 32 |
| Outplants ${ }^{a}$ | 29 | 38 | 9 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Kept ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 99 | 102 | 36 | 133 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 372 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 372 |
| Mortality | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Spawned | 79 | 84 | 34 | 129 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 |
| Killed | 17 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Fork Length (mm) | 594 | 585 | 707 | 685 | 831 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Standard deviation | (29) | (26) | (43) | (30) | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Little Sheep Creek Facility (Imnaha stock) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trapped | 139 | 108 | 30 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 442 | 22 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 489 |
| Passed | 33 | 23 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 103 |
| Outplants | 18 | 17 | 4 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| Kept | 88 | 68 | 23 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 306 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 318 |
| Mortality | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Spawned | 86 | 54 | 21 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 261 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 273 |
| Killed | 1 | 14 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Fork Length (mm) | 587 | 576 | 694 | 675 | - | - | 531 | 518 |  | - | 564 | - | 695 |  |  |
| Standard deviation | (25) | (33) | (43) | (30) |  | - | - | - |  | - | (11) | - | - |  |  |

[^6]Table 38. Spawning summaries for summer steelhead at LSRCP facilities in 1996.

| Spawn date, Lot number | Parental origin $^{a}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Number of } \\ \text { eggs } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Excess eyed embryos ${ }^{b}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eyed } \\ \text { embryos }^{c} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% mortality to shocking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wallowa Fish Hatchery (Wallowa stock) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/13, wa308 | Hatchery | 104,800 | 0 | 93,500 | 11 |
| 3/19, wa309 | Hatchery | 383,200 | 0 | 279,700 | 27 |
| 3/26, wa310 | Hatchery | 515,700 | 0 | 464,300 | 10 |
| 4/2, wa311 | Hatchery | 453,700 | 19,500 | 400,000 | 8 |
| 4/9, wa312 | Hatchery | 427,900 | 104,300 | 280,000 | 10 |
| 4/16, wa313 | Hatchery | 398,100 | 260,000 | 120,000 | 5 |
| 4/23, wa314 | Hatchery | 223,700 | 0 | 206,100 | 8 |
| 4/30, wa315 | Hatchery | 156,045 | 0 | 137,945 | 12 |
| 5/7, wa316 | Hatchery | 57,900 | 0 | 55,250 | 5 |
| 5/14, wa317 | Hatchery | 48,700 | 0 | 35,400 | 27 |
| 5/21, wa318 | Hatchery | 11,820 | 0 | 11,590 | 2 |
| Total |  | 2,781,565 | 383,800 | 2,083,785 | 11 |
| Little Sheep Creek Facility (Imnaha stock) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/22, li314 | Hatchery | 168,600 | 0 | 104,550 | 38 |
| 3/28, li315 | Hatchery | 113,580 | 0 | 99,960 | 12 |
| 4/3, li316 | Hatchery | 97,144 | 0 | 44,010 | 57 |
| 4/3, li316 | Mixed | 4,626 | - | - | - |
| 4/11, li317 | Hatchery | 141,388 | 0 | 142,177 | 8 |
| 4/11, li317 | Mixed | 12,855 | - | - | - |
| 4/18, li318 | Hatchery | 75,447 | 0 | 78,081 | 8 |
| 4/18, li318 | Mixed | 9,432 | - | - | - |
| 4/25, li319 | Hatchery | 31,024 | 0 | 42,457 | 9 |
| 4/25, li319 | Mixed | 15,513 | - | - | - |
| 5/2, li320 | Hatchery | 18,210 | 0 | 15,020 | 18 |
| 5/9, li321 | Hatchery | 10,717 | 0 | 10,680 | 25 |
| 5/9, li321 | Mixed | 3,573 | - | - | - |
| 5/16, li322 | Hatchery | 4,300 | 0 | 10,050 | 22 |
| 5/16, li322 | Mixed | 8,600 | - | - | - |
| 5/23, li323 | Hatchery | 13,235 | 0 | 11,770 | 11 |
| Subtotal | Hatchery | 673,645 |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | Mixed | 54,599 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 728,244 | 0 | 558,755 | 23 |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ In general, family groups were pooled (three males $x$ three females) for Wallowa stock and were matrix spawned (three males $x$ three females) for Imnaha stock. Mixed eggs include both natural and hatchery parents.
${ }^{b}$ Eyed embryos were euthanized because they were excess to program needs.
${ }^{c}$ Eyed embryos were inventoried on $4 / 11,4 / 22,5 / 3,5 / 13,5 / 24,5 / 31$, and $6 / 7$.

Table 39. Summary of anadromous adult recoveries of coded-wire tagged (CWT), Wallowa stock summer steelhead for the 1994-95 return year. All CWT fish were from releases of hatchery fish into either Deer or Spring creek. Data was summarized as available through May 1999.

| Brood year, Release site | Experimental group ${ }^{a}$ | CWT <br> code | Recoveries at weirs ${ }^{b}$ | Other inbasin recoveries ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Out-of-basin recoveries ${ }^{d}$ | Total recoveries ${ }^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deer Cr. | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 075351 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 075352 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Direct stream, 5 fpp | 075354 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Spring Cr. | Acclimated, 4 fpp | 075359 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Acclimated, 4 fpp | 075360 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 13 |
|  | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 075443 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 075444 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deer Cr. | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 075855 | 5 | 11 | 14 | 30 |
|  | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 075856 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
|  | Direct stream, 5 fpp | 075857 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 18 |
|  | Direct stream, 5 fpp | 075858 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| Spring Cr. | Production, 5 fpp | 075853 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 11 |
|  | Production, 5 fpp | 075854 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 12 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deer Cr. | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 076102 | 14 | 19 | 26 | 59 |
|  | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 076103 | 10 | 21 | 51 | 82 |
|  | Direct stream, 5 fpp | 076104 | 11 | 1 | 31 | 43 |
|  | Direct stream, 5 fpp | 076105 | 16 | 15 | 73 | 104 |
| Spring Cr. | Production, 5 fpp | 076106 | 15 | 8 | 26 | 49 |
|  | Production, 5 fpp | 076107 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 51 |
|  | Grand total of recoveries in 1995 |  | 95 | 91 | 311 | 497 |

${ }^{a}$ Experimental groups include the release strategy and the target release size (fpp $=$ fish per pound).
${ }^{b}$ Actual number of CWT fish that were released into Spring Cr. and recovered at the Wallowa Fish Hatchery weir or released into Deer Cr. and recovered at the Big Canyon Facility weir. The protocol was to collect all CWT fish at the weirs for sampling at the hatchery during spawning.
${ }^{c}$ Actual number of CWT fish that were released into Spring Cr. and recovered at the Big Canyon Facility weir or released into Deer Cr. and recovered at the Wallowa Fish Hatchery weir plus the estimated number (from creel surveys) of CWT fish that were harvested in the Grande Ronde River basin fisheries.
${ }^{d}$ Estimated number (from PSMFC and ODFW databases) of total CWT fish that were recovered in the ocean, mainstem Columbia, Deschutes or Snake river fisheries, or in tributaries outside the Grande Ronde River basin. When CWT expansion factors were greater than 24 (because of a low sampling rate) unexpanded data were used.
${ }^{e}$ Estimated total by summing all recoveries.

Table 40. Summary of anadromous adult recoveries of coded-wire tagged (CWT), Imnaha stock summer steelhead for the 1994-95 return year. All CWT fish were from releases of hatchery fish into Little Sheep Creek. Data was summarized as available through May 1999.

| Brood year | $\underset{\text { group }^{a}}{\text { Experimental }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Recoverie <br> s at weirs ${ }^{b}$ | Other inbasin recoveries ${ }^{c}$ | Out-ofbasin recoveries ${ }^{d}$ | Total recoveries ${ }^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | Direct stream | 075355 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 1991 | Direct stream | 075861 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Acclimated | 075862 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1992 | Acclimated | 076061 | 28 | 4 | 5 | 37 |
|  | Acclimated | 076062 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 26 |
|  | Direct stream | 076063 | 17 | 0 | 19 | 36 |
|  | Direct stream | 076101 | 22 | 0 | 21 | 43 |
|  | Grand total of recoveries in 1995 |  | 84 | 4 | 58 | 146 |

${ }^{a}$ Experimental groups include the release strategy. All releases were targeted for five fish per pound.
${ }^{b}$ Actual numbers of CWT fish recovered at the L. Sheep Creek weir. The protocol was to collect all CWT fish at the weir for sampling at the hatchery.
${ }^{c}$ Estimated number (from creel surveys) of total CWT fish that were harvested in the Imnaha River basin fishery.
${ }^{d}$ Estimated number (from PSMFC and ODFW databases) of total CWT fish that were recovered in the ocean, mainstem Columbia, Deschutes or Snake river fisheries, or in tributaries outside the Imnaha River basin. When CWT expansion factors were greater than 24 (because of a low sampling rate) unexpanded data were used.
${ }^{e}$ Estimated total by summing all recoveries.

Table 41. Summary of anadromous adult recoveries of coded-wire tagged (CWT), Wallowa stock summer steelhead for the 1995-96 return year. All CWT fish were from releases of hatchery fish into either Deer or Spring creek. Data was summarized as available through May 1999.

| Brood year, Release site | Experimental group ${ }^{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Recoverie s at weirs ${ }^{b}$ | Other inbasin recoveries c | Out-ofbasin recoveries ${ }^{d}$ | Total recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deer Cr. | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 075855 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deer Cr. | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 076102 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 30 |
|  | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 076103 | 11 | 1 | 25 | 37 |
|  | Direct stream, 5 fpp | 076104 | 17 | 3 | 46 | 66 |
|  | Direct stream, 5 fpp | 076105 | 8 | 1 | 18 | 27 |
| Spring Cr. | Production, 5 fpp | 076106 | 4 | 1 | 24 | 29 |
|  | Production, 5 fpp | 076107 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 30 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deer Cr. | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 070325 | 39 | 6 | 100 | 145 |
|  | Acclimated, 5 fpp | 070326 | 42 | 3 | 84 | 129 |
|  | Direct stream, 5 fpp | 070327 | 28 | 6 | 53 | 87 |
|  | Direct stream, 5 fpp | 070328 | 18 | 5 | 82 | 105 |
| Spring Cr. | Production, 5 fpp | 070329 | 33 | 3 | 97 | 133 |
|  | Production, 5 fpp | 070330 | 39 | 5 | 92 | 136 |
|  | Grand total of recoveries in 1996 |  | 254 | 35 | 666 | 955 |

${ }^{a}$ Experimental groups include the release strategy and the target release size (fpp $=$ fish per pound).
${ }^{b}$ Actual number of CWT fish that were released into Spring Cr. and recovered at the Wallowa Fish Hatchery weir or released into Deer Cr. and recovered at the Big Canyon Facility weir. The protocol was to collect all CWT fish at the weirs for sampling at the hatchery during spawning.
${ }^{c}$ Actual number of CWT fish that were released into Spring Cr. and recovered at the Big Canyon Facility weir or released into Deer Cr. and recovered at the Wallowa Fish Hatchery weir plus the estimated number (from creel surveys) of CWT fish that were harvested in the Grande Ronde River basin fisheries.
${ }^{d}$ Estimated number (from PSMFC and ODFW databases) of total CWT fish that were recovered in the ocean, mainstem Columbia, Deschutes or Snake river fisheries, or in tributaries outside the Grande Ronde River basin. When CWT expansion factors were greater than 24 (because of a low sampling rate) unexpanded data were used.
${ }^{e}$ Estimated total by summing all recoveries.

Table 42. Summary of anadromous adult recoveries of coded-wire tagged (CWT), Imnaha stock summer steelhead for the 1995-96 return year. All CWT fish were from releases of hatchery fish into Little Sheep Creek. Data was summarized as available through May, 1999.

| Brood year | Experimental group ${ }^{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Recoverie s at weirs ${ }^{b}$ | Other inbasin recoveries C | Out-ofbasin recoveries ${ }^{d}$ | Total recoveries $e$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | Acclimated | 076061 | 15 | 1 | 8 | 24 |
|  | Acclimated | 076062 | 10 | 0 | 22 | 32 |
|  | Direct stream | 076063 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 15 |
|  | Direct stream | 076101 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| 1993 | Acclimated | 070321 | 17 | 0 | 45 | 62 |
|  | Acclimated | 070322 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
|  | Direct stream | 070323 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 27 |
|  | Direct stream | 070324 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 13 |
|  | Grand total of recoveries in 1996 |  | 90 | 6 | 104 | 200 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Experimental groups include the release strategy. All releases were targeted for five fish per pound. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{b}$ Actual numbers of CWT fish recovered at the L. Sheep Creek weir. The protocol was to collect all CWT fish at the weir for sampling at the hatchery. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{c}$ Estimated number (from creel surveys) of total CWT fish that were harvested in the Imnaha River basin fishery. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{d}$ Estimated number (from PSMFC and ODFW databases) of total CWT fish that were recovered in the ocean, mainstem Columbia, Deschutes or Snake river fisheries, or in tributaries outside the Imnaha River basin. When CWT expansion factors were greater than 24 (because of a low sampling rate) unexpanded data were used. <br> ${ }^{e}$ Estimated total by summing all recoveries. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 43. Catch and escapement distribution of adult summer steelhead by recovery location for the 1994-95 run year using the PSMFC and ODFW CWT databases. "C and S" indicates ceremonial and subsistence tribal fisheries. Data was summarized as available through May 1999.

| Location | Wallowa Stock |  |  | Imnaha Stock |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated <br> CWT <br> recoveries | Total return | Percent of total return | Estimated CWT recoveries | Total return | Percent of total return |
| Ocean catch | 2 | 14 | 0.3 | 1 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Columbia River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty net | 100 | 900 | 21.4 | 20 | 62 | 13.1 |
| C and S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sport | 75 | 654 | 15.5 | 20 | 58 | 12.3 |
| Test |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tributary sport |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Deschutes River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sport | 24 | 264 | 6.3 | 5 | 19 | 4.0 |
| C and S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strays |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside Snake R. Basin | 19 | 143 | 3.4 | 4 | 9 | 1.9 |
| Within Snake R. Basin ${ }^{a}$ | 6 | 46 | 1.1 | 2 | 7 | 1.5 |
| Snake River sport, tribs. ${ }^{a}$ | 89 | 759 | 18.0 | 5 | 11 | 2.3 |
| Oregon tributaries ${ }^{a}$ | 87 | 760 | 18.1 | 4 | 24 | 5.1 |
| Hatchery weir ${ }^{a}$ | 95 | 667 | 15.9 | 84 | 278 | 58.8 |
| Total estimated return | 497 | 4,207 | 100.0 | 146 | 473 | 100.0 |
| Return to compensation area | 277 | 2,232 |  | 95 | 320 |  |
| Percent of compensation goal |  | 24.3 |  |  | 16.0 |  |

[^7] 9,184 adults and the goal for Imnaha stock is 2,000 adults. Total returns to the hatchery weir are actual numbers. Total returns to Oregon tributaries are harvest estimates based on angler surveys.

Table 44. Catch and escapement distribution of adult summer steelhead by recovery location for the 1995-96 run year using the PSMFC and ODFW CWT databases. "C and S" indicates ceremonial and subsistence tribal fisheries. Data was summarized as available through May 1999.

| Location | Wallowa Stock |  |  | Imnaha Stock |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated CWT recoveries | Total return | Percent of total return | Estimated CWT recoveries | Total return | Percent of total return |
| Ocean catch | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Columbia River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty net | 157 | 1,365 | 17.3 | 24 | 89 | 9.0 |
| C and S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sport | 141 | 1,262 | 16.0 | 51 | 252 | 25.5 |
| Test |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tributary sport | 1 | 2 | $<0.1$ | 1 | 5 | 0.5 |
| Deschutes River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sport | 49 | 367 | 4.7 | 3 | 6 | 0.6 |
| C and S | 1 | 13 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Strays |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside Snake R. Basin | 88 | 903 | 11.5 | 5 | 11 | 1.1 |
| Within Snake R. Basin ${ }^{a}$ | 10 | 87 | 1.1 | 3 | 12 | 1.2 |
| Snake River sport, tribs. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 226 | 1,398 | 17.7 | 17 | 60 | 6.1 |
| Oregon tributaries ${ }^{a}$ | 28 | 1,005 | 12.7 | 6 | 112 | 11.3 |
| Hatchery weir ${ }^{a}$ | 254 | 1,486 | 18.8 | 90 | 442 | 44.7 |
| Total estimated return | 955 | 7,888 | 100.0 | 200 | 989 | 100.0 |
| Return to compensation area |  | 3,976 |  |  | 626 |  |
| Percent of compensation goal |  | 43.3 |  |  | 31.3 |  |
| ${ }^{\bar{a}}$ Indicates areas defining the compensation area. The compensation goal for Wallowa stock is 9,184 adults and the goal for Imnaha stock is 2,000 adults. Total returns to the hatchery weir are actual numbers. Total returns to Oregon tributaries are harvest estimates based on angler surveys. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Embryos were shocked on September $18{ }^{\text {th }}$ and November $7^{\text {th }}$.
    ${ }^{b}$ Records of the number of eggs collected on August $24^{\text {th }}$ do not distinguish origin of the parents.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Some Imnaha parent groups include males who were spawned more than once so there are five more individual males reported here than the total number actually spawned.
    ${ }^{b}$ Some Rapid River parent groups include males who were spawned more than once so there are more males reported as spawned in both the marked and unmarked groups than the total number actually spawned.
    ${ }^{c}$ Imnaha embryos were shocked on October 30 \& 31, 1996 and Rapid River embryos were shocked on October $10 \& 11 \& 31$ and November 01, 1996.
    ${ }^{d}$ Rapid River stock were usually spawned in a $2 \times 2$ matrix.

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Experimental groups include the target release size (fpp $=$ fish per pound) and the target density $(g p l=$ grams per liter) at release. All fish were reared in and released as acclimated from raceways at Lookingglass Fish Hatchery and acclimated to Lookingglass Creek water.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimated number (based on spawning ground survey data) of CWT fish that spawned naturally in Lookingglass Creek. Five redds were observed during spawning ground surveys. Using a fish per redd estimate of 3.26 (average of '92 - '94) sixteen fish spawned in Lookingglass Creek in 1995.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Estimated number (from PSMFC and ODFW databases) of total CWT fish that were recovered in the ocean, mainstem Columbia, Deschutes or Snake rivers fisheries, or in tributaries outside the Lookingglass Creek. When CWT expansion factors were greater than 24 (because of a low sampling rate) unexpanded data were used.

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ Experimental groups include the target release size (fpp $=$ fish per pound) and the target density (gpl $=$ grams per liter) at release.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimated number of CWT fish that spawned naturally in Lookingglass Creek.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Estimated number (from PSMFC and ODFW databases) of total CWT fish that were recovered outside the Lookingglass Creek drainage. When CWT expansion factors were greater than 24 (because of a low sampling rate) unexpanded data were used.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ An additional 5 precocial male Rapid River stock Chinook were trapped.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ An additional 8 fish with CWT were collected at Lower Granite Dam including 6 fish from other basins and 2 Rapid River stock "precocial" male mortalities at Lower Granite trap.

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ During tagging, $5.2 \%$ of fish with tag code 075822 were incorrectly marked AdRV.

[^5]:    ${ }^{a}$ All fish were reared at Irrigon Fish Hatchery (ODFW), except for Mud Cr. releases reared at Lyon's Ferry Fish Hatchery (WDFW)
    ${ }^{b}$ Grande Ronde River releases were at river mile 156-159. Catherine Creek releases were at river mile 17-18. Mud Creek releases were in the Lower Grande Ronde River at river mile 52.
    ${ }^{c}$ Brand detections are expanded detections at Lower Granite Dam. Fish branded RA-A-1 and LA-A-1 represent tag codes 071163 and 071216, respectively.
    ${ }^{d}$ Percent PIT tag detections are unique detections at all mainstem Columbia and lower Snake river dams.
    ${ }^{e}$ CWT codes 071161 and 071162 were held in the same raceway. PIT- tagged fish represent both CWT codes.
    ${ }^{f}$ CWT codes 071159 and 071160 were held in the same acclimation pond and were not distinguishable based on an external mark.
    ${ }^{g}$ Wallowa stock steelhead male releases were $1 \%$ precocial.

[^6]:    ${ }^{a}$ Includes 12 hatchery females outplanted from Wallowa Fish Hatchery. Also includes one male and five females (three AdLV $+C W T$ and two Ad-only marked fish) taken to the Pendleton Sportsman Show for a display.
    ${ }^{b}$ Only 126 males and 237 females were transferred to Wallowa Fish Hatchery for spawning.

[^7]:    ${ }^{a}$ Indicates areas defining the compensation area. The compensation goal for Wallowa stock is

